

MEETING OF THE CITY OF CONCORD BICYCLE, PEDESTRIAN AND SAFE ROUTES TO TRANSIT PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Monday, October 19, 2015 5:30 p.m., Regular Meeting PERMIT CENTER CONFERENCE ROOM 1950 Parkside Drive, Bldg. D

www.cityofconcord.org/bikeandped

Plan Advisory Committee Members

Laura Hoffmeister, Chair and City Council Representative Carlyn Obringer, Planning Commission Representative Mark Sinclair, Parks Recreation and Open Space Committee Representative Sergio Huerta, At-Large Representative Clair Linder, At-Large Representative

AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD - NON-AGENDA ITEMS

ADDITIONS/CONTINUANCES/WITHDRAWALS

STAFF REPORTS / DISCUSSION ITEMS

1. Updated Vision Statement (Brief Item)

"The City of Concord envisions an environment that supports walking, bicycling and active living, and enables people of all ages and abilities to safely and comfortably access jobs, schools, recreation, shopping and transit as a part of daily life."

- 2. Project Evaluation Criteria Presentation (30 Minutes)
- 3. Project Status Update (Brief Item)
- 4. Next PAC meetings Potential cancellation for November 23 or December 28

PUBLIC COMMENTS - AGENDA ITEMS

COMMITTEE MEMBER CONSIDERATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS

Metropolitan Transportation Commission Public Forum Thursday, October 22, 4 p.m. to 6 p.m. Caltrans Auditorium, 111 Grand Ave, Oakland, CA 94612 http://www.mtc.ca.gov

The California Transportation Commission and Metropolitan Transportation Commission invite you to a public forum to learn more about the local, regional and state role in funding road projects and maintenance, the impacts and reasons for California's deteriorating transportation system, proposed short-term and long-term funding solutions, and the potential implementation of a road charge based on miles driven to stabilize road repair and maintenance funding.

ADJOURNMENT

NOTICE TO PUBLIC

No item will be considered after 9 P.M. Items remaining on the agenda will be rescheduled. Should the Permit Center Conference Room reach capacity, the meeting will be held in the adjacent Council Chambers. Members of the audience who wish to address the Plan Advisory Committee are requested to complete a speaker's card inclusive of their name and address. Public comments should be limited to three minutes.

The Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Safe Routes to Transit Plan Advisory Committee is a temporary ad-hoc committee. Staff will not provide written summaries of the Plan Advisory Committee's discussions on agenda items. Attendees should be prepared to take all necessary notes regarding any comments, suggestions, and directions. For additional information regarding the role of the Plan Advisory Committee, please contact the Planning Division at (925) 671-3152.

In accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and California Law, it is the policy of the City of Concord to offer its public programs, services and meetings in a manner that is readily accessible to everyone, including those with disabilities. If you are disabled and require a copy of a public hearing notice, or an agenda and/or agenda packet in an appropriate alternative format; or if you require other accommodation, please contact the ADA Coordinator at (925) 671-3031, at least five (5) days in advance of the hearing. Advance notification within this guideline will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility.

BICYCLE PEDESTRIAN AND SAFE ROUTES TO TRANSIT PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMORANDUM

October 19, 2015

TO: Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Safe Routes to Transit Plan

Plan Advisory Committee (PAC)

FROM: Andrew J. Mogensen, AICP, Principal Planner

Andrew.mogensen@cityofconcord.org

SUBJECT: Project Evaluation Criteria

Background

Now that the Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Safe Routes to Transit Plan has completed the public outreach phase and established the Plan's vision and goals, the next step is to establish a recommended strategy for evaluating the individual infrastructure projects which will be identified in the plan, based on their effectiveness in meeting the Plan's vision and goals:

"The City of Concord envisions an environment that supports walking, bicycling and active living, and enables people of all ages and abilities to safely and comfortably access jobs, schools, recreation, shopping and transit as a part of daily life."

- Safety: Prioritize travel safety for all modes of transportation.
- Design: Design active transportation projects that are accessible and comfortable for people of all ages and abilities.
- Network: Identify and implement a complete and convenient active transportation network.
- Programs: Increase awareness and support of walking and bicycling through education, encouragement, and evaluation programs.

The Project Evaluation Criteria is a useful tool that uses a weighted scoring method to logically and objectively prioritize projects in a way that reflects the Plan's established vision and goals. All of the Plan's proposed infrastructure projects will be evaluated against the criteria and then organized into short, mid, and long-term tiers based on the logical breakdown of their scores and the complexities of their implementation. This resulting list of recommended prioritized projects in the plan will then be able to serve as guidelines for future projects.

It should be noted that the prioritization list can be revisited, updated, and adjusted over time to reflect changed conditions in walking and bicycling patterns, land use patterns, implementation constraints and opportunities, and the development of future transportation improvements. Regardless of these changes, the Project Evaluation Criteria can remain a consistent methodology.

Staff recommends the Plan Advisory Committee review the proposed methodology and requests their support for its incorporation into the Plan. Additional details will be provided by staff from Alta Planning and Design during their presentation at the October 19, 2015 PAC meeting, also attached with this memorandum.

Project Evaluation Criteria

Safety	20
Feasibility	20
Community Identified Challenge Area	20
Transit Connection	15
Activity Generator Connection	15
Estimated Demand	<u>10</u>
Total Possible Points	100



City of Concord Bicycle, Pedestrian and Safe Routes to Transit Plan

Plan Advisory Committee

Project Evaluation Criteria







Overview

- What are project evaluation criteria?
- Draft project evaluation criteria



What are Project Evaluation Criteria?

- A strategy for evaluating individual infrastructure projects based on their effectiveness of meeting the Plan's vision and goals.
- A quantifiable evaluation process that results in a prioritized project list.
- The resulting prioritized list will inform implementation based on:
 - Available funding opportunities
 - Development of other transportation projects
 - Council direction on CIP lists

The prioritized list is flexible, informed by the above.



Draft Plan Goals

- Safety: Prioritize safety
- Design: Design projects that are accessible and comfortable for all ages and abilities
- Network: Identify and implement a complete and convenient network
- Programs: Increase awareness and support through education, encouragement, and evaluation programs



Criteria	Points
Safety	20
Feasibility	20
Community Identified Challenge Area	20
Transit Connection	15
Activity Generator Connection	15
Estimated Demand	10
Total Possible Points	100



Criteria	Description	Points
Safety	The project addresses safety concerns identified through reported collisions, based on the most recent five years of data for bicycle or pedestrian related collisions.	20
	Projects are scored on a scaled ranking from zero to twenty with locations with the most collisions receiving the maximum score.	20
Feasibility	This evaluation is based on known factors regarding project implementation, required approvals, and estimated public right-of-way.	
	Projects within the public right-of-way and do not require further study will receive 20 points.	20
	Projects that are not likely to require right-of-way but will require further study will receive 10 points.	
	Projects that will require right-of-way and will require study will receive zero points.	



Criteria	Description	Points
Community Identified	The project is at a location identified as challenging through the community workshop, survey, tours, or other comments submitted.	
Challenge Area	Projects in community identified challenge areas receive 20 points.	20
	Projects that are not in community identified challenge areas receive zero points.	
Transit	The project improves or provides a connection to a transit stop or station.	
Connection	Projects that directly connect to a transit stop or station receive 15 points.	15
	Projects that do not connect to a transit stop or station receive zero points.	



Criteria	Description	Points
Activity Generator Connection	The project improves or provides a connection to an attractor identified in the Existing Conditions memo (health care facilities, parks, community centers, top employers, shopping centers, parks, and schools).	15
	Projects that directly connect to activity generators receive 15 points.	
	Projects that do not connect to activity generators receive zero points.	
Estimated Demand	The project is in a location of estimated high demand based on the BPSI Demand Analysis.	
	Projects in locations that fall within areas of estimated high demand will be awarded 10 points.	10
	Projects in locations without estimated high demand receive zero points.	



Discussion

Description	Points
The project addresses safety concerns identified through reported collisions, based on the most recent five years of data for bicycle or pedestrian related collisions.	20
Projects are scored on a scaled ranking from zero to twenty.	
This evaluation is based on known factors regarding project implementation, required approvals, and estimated public right-of-way.	
Projects within the public right-of-way & do not require further study receive 20 points.	20
tours, or other comments submitted.	20
Projects in community identified challenge areas receive 20 points.	20
Projects that are not in community identified challenge areas receive zero points.	
The project improves or provides a connection to a transit stop or station.	
Projects that directly connect to a transit stop or station receive 15 points.	15
Projects that do not connect to a transit stop or station receive zero points.	
The project improves or provides a connection to an attractor identified in the Existing	
Conditions memo (health care facilities, parks, community centers, top employers, shopping	
centers, parks, and schools).	15
Projects that directly connect to activity generators receive 15 points.	
Projects that do not connect to activity generators receive zero points.	
The project is in a location of estimated high demand based on the BPSI Demand Analysis.	
Projects in locations that fall within areas of estimated high demand receive 10 points. Projects in locations without estimated high demand receive zero points.	10
	The project addresses safety concerns identified through reported collisions, based on the most recent five years of data for bicycle or pedestrian related collisions. Projects are scored on a scaled ranking from zero to twenty. This evaluation is based on known factors regarding project implementation, required approvals, and estimated public right-of-way. Projects within the public right-of-way & do not require further study receive 20 points. Projects that are not likely to require right-of-way but will require study receive 10 points. Projects that will require right-of-way and will require study receive zero points. The project is at a location identified as challenging through the community workshop, survey, tours, or other comments submitted. Projects in community identified challenge areas receive 20 points. Projects that are not in community identified challenge areas receive zero points. The project improves or provides a connection to a transit stop or station. Projects that directly connect to a transit stop or station receive 15 points. Project improves or provides a connection to an attractor identified in the Existing Conditions memo (health care facilities, parks, community centers, top employers, shopping centers, parks, and schools). Projects that directly connect to activity generators receive 15 points. Projects that do not connect to activity generators receive zero points. The project is in a location of estimated high demand based on the BPSI Demand Analysis.



Next Steps

- Public Draft Plan (Winter 2016)
- Community Workshop (April 2016)

