

NOTES TO THE BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The City of Concord was incorporated in 1905 and operates under the Council-Manager form of government. The City provides the following services: public safety (police services and building inspection), highways and streets, sewer collection, recreation services, public improvements, planning and zoning, redevelopment and general administration services.

The financial statements and accounting policies of the City of Concord conform with generally accepted accounting principles applicable to governments. The Governmental Accounting Standard Board (GASB) is the accepted standard-setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles. The following is a summary of the more significant policies:

Reporting Entity

The accompanying basic financial statements present the financial activity of the City, which is the primary government presented, along with the financial activities of its component units, which are entities for which the City is financially accountable. Although they are separate legal entities, *blended* component units are in substance part of the City's operations and are reported as an integral part of the City's financial statements. Therefore they are reported as part of the primary government.

The City's component units which are described below are all blended.

The **City of Concord Joint Powers Financing Authority** is a joint powers authority organized by the City of Concord and the former Concord Redevelopment Agency under the laws of the State of California. The Authority was organized to provide financial assistance to the City by financing real and personal properties and improvements for the benefit of the residents of the City and surrounding areas. Administrative and related normal business expenses incurred in the day-to-day operations of the Authority are provided by the City. Such expenses are insignificant to the Authority's operations. The Authority obtains financing for City and the former Agency sponsored projects using leases signed by the City or former Agency as collateral. The amounts of the leases are calculated to provide sufficient resources to repay the debt incurred to finance the projects.

The **Concord/Pleasant Hill Health Care District** is a local health care district organized under Local Hospital District Law, as set forth in the Health and Safety Code of the State of California and is governed by the City's Council.

Concord Sanitary Sewer Services, Inc. was formed to finance the acquisition, construction and improvement of sewer facilities in the City of Concord. The facilities were constructed in accordance with the City's specifications on City property leased back to the City for a rental sufficient to meet the debt service obligations of the underlying bonds. The lease agreement expired in fiscal year 2001-2002 and all bonds were fully paid and retired, at which time title to the sewer facilities transferred to the City and remaining surplus funds were distributed to the City. Concord Sanitary Sewer Services, Inc. is currently inactive.

The **City of Concord Retirement System** is governed by the City's Retirement System Ordinance, Article II, Chapter 8 of the City of Concord Municipal Code, and is used to account for contributions and investment income restricted to pay retirement and death benefits of general and police employees. The Plan's benefit provisions are frozen and retirement and death benefit payments are restricted to eligible employees who retired or left the City of Concord eligible for a pension prior to June 28, 1999. Contribution provisions are established by the City Council. Eligibility, actuarial interest rates, administration and certain other tasks are the responsibility of the Retirement Board established by the above ordinance. Financial statements for the City of Concord Joint Powers Financing Authority and the Concord/Pleasant Hill Health Care District can be obtained from the City of Concord, 1950 Parkside Drive, Concord, California 94519.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)**Basis of Presentation**

The City's basic financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The Government Accounting Standards Board is the acknowledged standard setting body for establishing accounting and financial reporting standards followed by governmental entities in the United States of America.

Government-wide Statements: The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities display information about the primary government (the City) and its component units. These statements include the financial activities of the overall City government, except for fiduciary activities. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double counting of internal activities. These statements distinguish between the *governmental* and *business-type activities* of the City. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange transactions. Business-type activities are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties.

The Statement of Activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each segment of the business-type activities of the City and for each function of the City's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a program or function and, therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include (a) charges paid by the recipients of goods or services offered by the programs, (b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational needs of a particular program and (c) fees, grants and contributions that are restricted to financing the acquisition or construction of capital assets. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues, including all taxes, are presented as general revenues.

Fund Financial Statements: The fund financial statements provide information about the City's funds, including fiduciary funds and blended component units. Separate statements for each fund category-*governmental*, *proprietary*, and *fiduciary*-are presented. The emphasis of fund financial statements is on major individual governmental and enterprise funds, each of which is displayed in a separate column. All remaining governmental and enterprise funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

Proprietary fund *operating* revenues, such as charges for services, result from exchange transactions associated with the principal activity of the fund. Exchange transactions are those in which each party receives and gives up essentially equal values. *Operating* expenses result from the cost of providing those services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenues and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as *nonoperating* revenues and expenses. Nonoperating revenues, such as subsidies and investment earnings, result from nonexchange transactions or ancillary activities.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)**Major Funds**

The City's major governmental and enterprise funds are identified and presented separately in the fund financial statements. All other governmental and enterprise funds, called non-major funds, are combined and reported in single columns.

The City reported the following major governmental funds in the accompanying financial statements:

General Fund - The General Fund is the general operating fund of the City. It is used to account for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The major revenue sources for this Fund are property taxes, sales taxes, unrestricted revenues from the State, charges for services and interest income. Expenditures are made for public safety, public works and other services not required to be accounted for in another fund.

Concord Housing – The Concord Housing special revenue fund accounts for the activities related to the assets assumed by the City of Concord as the Housing Successor to the housing activities of the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Concord.

General Projects Fund – This capital projects fund accounts for all general capital improvement projects not funded from proprietary funds.

The City reported the following enterprise fund as a major fund in the accompanying financial statements:

Sewer Fund - To account for activities associated with sewage collection, transmission and treatment.

The City also reports the following fund types:

Internal Service Funds – These funds account for workers' compensation costs, non-reimbursable portion of insurance claims, post-retirement health care benefits, City facilities' maintenance expenses, maintenance and replacement costs of City licensed vehicles, motorized equipment, technology equipment and office equipment; all of which are provided to other departments on a cost-reimbursement basis.

Fiduciary Funds - Fiduciary Funds account for assets held by the City as trustee agent for other governmental units, private organizations or individuals. The City of Concord Retirement System Pension Trust Fund, accounts for accumulation of resources to be used for retirement annuity payments at appropriate amounts and times in the future. The Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of Concord is reported in a Private-purpose Trust Fund that is used to account for the activities of the Successor Agency. The Agency Fund accounts for charges collected by the government on behalf of other governments. The financial activities of these funds are excluded from the City-wide financial statement, but are presented in separate Fiduciary Fund financial statements.

Basis of Accounting

The government-wide, proprietary, and fiduciary fund financial statements are reported using the *economic resources measurement focus* and the full *accrual basis* of accounting. Revenues are recorded when *earned* and expenses are recorded at the time liabilities are *incurred*, regardless of when the related cash flows take place.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Governmental funds are reported using the *current financial resources* measurement focus and the *modified accrual* basis of accounting. Under this method, revenues are recognized when *measurable and available*. The City considers all revenues reported in the governmental funds to be available if the revenues are collected within sixty days after year-end, except for sales tax revenue which has a 90 day period of availability. General capital asset acquisitions are reported as *expenditures* in governmental funds. Proceeds of long-term debt and acquisitions under capital leases are reported as *other financing sources*.

Non-exchange transactions, in which the City gives or receives value without directly receiving or giving equal value in exchange, include property taxes, sales tax, grants entitlements, and donations. On an accrual basis, revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year for which the taxes are levied. Revenue from grants, entitlements, and donations are recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied.

Other revenues susceptible to accrual include intergovernmental revenues, interest and charges for services.

Grant revenues are recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements are met. Under the terms of grant agreements, the City may fund certain programs with a combination of cost-reimbursement grants, categorical block grants, and general revenues. Thus, both restricted and unrestricted Net Position may be available to finance program expenditures. The City's policy is to first apply restricted grant resources to such programs, followed by general revenues if necessary.

Expenditures are generally recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when the related fund liability is incurred. Exceptions to this general rule include accumulated unpaid vacation, sick pay and other employee amounts which are recognized as expenditures to the extent they have matured, and principal and interest on general long-term debt which is recognized when due. Financial resources usually are appropriated in other funds for transfer to a debt service fund in the period in which maturing debt principal and interest must be paid. Such amounts thus are not current liabilities of the debt service fund, as their settlement will not require expenditure of existing fund assets.

Inventory and Prepaid Items

Inventories are valued at cost (on the first-in, first-out basis). Inventories of the General Fund consist of expendable supplies held for consumption. The cost is recorded as an expenditure in the General Fund at the time individual inventory items are consumed. Reported General Fund inventories are equally offset by amounts included in Non-Spendable Fund Balance which indicates that they do not constitute available spendable resources even though they are a component of net position.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future fiscal years and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements. Prepaid items in governmental funds are equally offset by amounts included in Nonspendable Fund Balance which indicates that they do not constitute available spendable resources even though they are a component of net position.

Property Taxes and Special Assessment Revenue

The County of Contra Costa levies, bills and collects property taxes for the City; the County remits the entire amount levied and handles all delinquencies, retaining interest and penalties. Secured and unsecured property taxes are levied on January 1 of the preceding fiscal year.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Secured property tax is due in two installments, on November 1 and February 1, and becomes a lien on those dates. It becomes delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively. Unsecured property tax is due on July 1 and becomes delinquent on August 31.

Compensated Absences

In governmental funds, Compensated Absences (unpaid vacation and sick leave) are recorded as expenditures in the year paid, as it is the City's policy to liquidate any unpaid vacation or sick leave at June 30 from future resources rather than currently available expendable resources. The City's liability for Compensated Absences is determined annually. For all governmental funds, amounts expected to be paid out for permanent liquidation are recorded as fund liabilities; the long term portion is recorded in the Statement of Net Position.

Compensated Absences are included in accrued liabilities. Compensated Absences are liquidated by the fund that has recorded the liability. The long-term portion of governmental activities compensated absences is liquidated primarily by the General Fund. Compensated Absences are accounted for by Proprietary funds as expenditures in the year earned. The changes in Compensated Absences of governmental and business-type activities are summarized in Note 7.

Capital Assets

All capital assets are valued at historical cost or estimated historical cost if actual historical cost is not available. Contributed capital assets are valued at their estimated fair market value on the date contributed. The City's policy is to capitalize all assets with costs exceeding certain minimum thresholds and with useful lives exceeding two years.

The City has recorded all its public domain (infrastructure) capital assets, which include roads, bridges, curbs and gutters, streets and sidewalks, drainage systems and lighting systems in the government-wide financial statements.

All capital assets with limited useful lives are depreciated over their estimated useful lives. The purpose of depreciation is to spread the cost of capital assets equitably among all users over the life of these assets. The amount charged to depreciation expense each year represents that year's pro rata share of the cost of capital assets.

Depreciation of capital assets in service is provided using the straight line method which means the cost of the asset is divided by its expected useful life in years and the result is charged to expense each year until the asset is fully depreciated. The City has assigned the useful lives and capitalization thresholds listed below to capital assets.

	Useful Lives	Capitalization Threshold
Ground improvements	25-33 Years	\$ 100,000
Buildings and improvements	25-33 Years	100,000
Machinery and equipment	5-10 Years	7,500
Vehicles	5-10 Years	7,500
Streets	30 Years	100,000
Sidewalks	50 Years	100,000
Storm drains/catch basins	100 Years	100,000
Traffic signals	30 Years	100,000
Sewer lines	40-50 Years	100,000

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)**Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources:**

In addition to assets, the Statement of Net Position, and Statement of Fiduciary Net Position may report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an expense/expenditure until then.

In addition to liabilities, the Statement of Net Position and the balance sheet of the governmental funds reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as revenue until that time. The governmental funds have only one type of item, which arises only under a modified accrual basis of accounting that qualifies for reporting in this category. Accordingly, the item, unavailable revenue, is reported only in the governmental funds balance sheet. The governmental funds report unavailable revenues from two sources: intergovernmental revenue and special assessments. These amounts are deferred and recognized as an inflow of resources in the period that the amounts become available.

Net Position and Fund Balances

Net Position is measured on the full accrual basis, while Fund Balance is measured on the modified accrual basis.

Net Position is the excess of all the City's assets over all its liabilities. Net Position is divided into three captions, and is described below:

Net Investment in Capital Assets describes the portion of Net Position which is represented by the current net book value of the City's capital assets, less the outstanding balance of any debt issued to finance these assets.

Restricted describes the portion of Net Position which are restricted as to use by the terms and conditions of agreements with outside parties, governmental regulations, laws, or other restrictions which the City cannot unilaterally alter. These principally include developer fees received for use on capital projects, debt service requirements.

Unrestricted describes the portion of Net Position which are not restricted as to use.

The City's fund balances are classified based on spending constraints imposed on the use of resources. For programs with multiple funding sources, the City prioritizes and expends funds in the following order: Restricted, Committed, Assigned, and Unassigned. Each category in the following hierarchy is ranked according to the degree of spending constraint:

Nonspendable represents balances set aside to indicate items do not represent available, spendable resources even though they are a component of assets. Fund balances required to be maintained intact, such as Permanent Funds, and assets not expected to be converted to cash, such as prepaids, notes receivable, and land held for redevelopment are included. However, if proceeds realized from the sale or collection of nonspendable assets are restricted, committed or assigned, then Nonspendable amounts are required to be presented as a component of the applicable category.

Restricted fund balances have external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, laws, regulations, or enabling legislation which requires the resources to be used only for a specific purpose. Encumbrances and nonspendable amounts subject to restrictions are included along with spendable resources.

Committed fund balances have constraints imposed by formal action of the City Council which may be altered only by formal action of the City Council. This category may include encumbrances.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Assigned fund balances are amounts constrained by the City's intent to be used for a specific purpose, but are neither restricted nor committed. Intent is expressed by the City Council or its designee and may be changed at the discretion of the City Council or its designee. City policy and procedure No. 168 delegates the authority to assign to the City Manager. This category may include encumbrances and residual fund balances, if any, of Special Revenue, Capital Projects and Debt Service Funds have not been restricted or committed.

Unassigned fund balance represents residual amounts that have not been restricted, committed, or assigned. This includes the residual general fund balance and residual fund deficits, if any, of other governmental funds. The general fund is the only fund that reports a positive unassigned fund balance amount.

Minimum Fund Balance Policy

To address financial uncertainties, the City's policy is to maintain General Fund reserves and contingencies to total not less than 15% of General Fund operating expenditures. Given the effects of the recent recession, reserves have been used significantly in the past four years. In accordance with the foresight of the City Council, the original requirement of 30% reserves and contingencies has been temporarily reduced to 15% with the admonition that a return to 30% as soon as possible is desired.

In addition to the General Fund reserves identified above, a separate Measure Q designated reserve has been established to provide more time for the City to address its on-going structural budget deficit. As stated earlier, Measure Q is a temporary sales tax measure which sunsets in March 2025. Measure Q revenues in excess of those needed to maintain a 15% General Fund reserve are allocated to the designated Measure Q reserve. As of June 30, 2015, the designated Measure Q Reserves totaled \$13.08 million, approximately 16.1% of General Fund Operating Expenditures. The effects of the City's minimum fund policy are accounted for in the General Fund's unassigned fund balance.

As of June 30, 2015, the General Fund balance, excluding non-spendable and assigned assets, totaled \$27.4 million, representing 34% of actual General Fund Operating Expenditures.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred inflows/outflows of resources related to pensions, and pensions expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the miscellaneous plan and the safety plan of the City of Concord, an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan participating in the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plans and additions to/deductions from the plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)**New Effective Accounting Pronouncements**

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) releases new accounting and financial reporting standards which may have a significant impact on the City's financial reporting process. The City implemented the following standards in June 30, 2015:

GASB Statement No. 68 – In June 2012, GASB issued Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for pensions. This Statement replaces the requirements of Statement No. 27, *Accounting for Pensions by State and Local Governmental Employers, as well as the requirements of Statement No. 50, Pension Disclosures*, as they relate to pensions that are provided through pension plans administered as trusts or equivalent arrangements (hereafter jointly referred to as trusts) that meet certain criteria. The requirements of Statements No. 27 and No. 50 remain applicable for pensions that are not covered by the scope of this Statement.

GASB Statement No. 69 – In January 2013, GASB issued Statement No. 69, *Government Combinations and Disposals of Government Operations*. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by State and local governments for government combinations and disposals of government operations. The Statement provides authoritative guidance on a variety of government combinations including mergers, acquisitions, and transfers of operations. This statement did not have a material impact on the City's financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 71 – In November 2013, GASB issued Statement No. 71, *Pension Transition For Contributions Made Subsequent to the Measurement Date – An Amendment of GASB Statement No. 68*. The objective of this Statement is to address an issue regarding application of the transition provisions of Statement No. 68. The issue relates to amounts associated with contributions, if any, made by a state or local government employer or non-employer contributing entity to a defined benefit pension plan after the measurement date of the government's beginning net pension liability. This Statement was applied simultaneously with the provisions of Statement No. 68.

Future Accounting Pronouncements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) releases new accounting and financial reporting standards which may have a significant impact on the City's financial reporting process. Future new standards which may impact the City, include the following:

GASB Statement No. 72 – In February 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*. This Statement addresses accounting and financial reporting issues related to fair value measurements. The definition of fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. This Statement provides guidance for determining a fair value measurement for financial reporting purposes. This Statement also provides guidance for applying fair value to certain investments and disclosures related to all fair value measurements. This statement is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2015. The City has not determined the effect on the financial statements.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)**Future Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)**

GASB Statement No. 73 – In June 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 73, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement No. 68*, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements No. 67 and No. 68. The objective of this statement is to improve the usefulness of information about pensions included in the general purpose external financial reports of state and local governments for making decisions and assessing accountability. This statement establishes requirements for defined benefit pensions that are not within the scope of Statement No. 68, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions, as well as for the assets accumulated for purposes of providing those pensions. In addition, it establishes requirements for defined contribution pensions that are not within the scope of Statement No. 68. It also amends certain provisions of Statement No. 67, Financial Reporting for Pension Plans, and Statement 68 for pension plans and pensions that are within their respective scopes. This statement is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2016. The City has not determined the effect on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 74 – In June 2015, GASB issued Statement 74, *Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve the usefulness of information about postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits or OPEB) included in the general purpose external financial reports of state and local governmental OPEB plans for making decisions and assessing accountability. This statement is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2016. The City has not determined the effect on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 75 – In June 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 75, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The primary objective of this statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for postemployment benefits other than pensions (other postemployment benefits or OPEB). It also improves information provided by state and local governmental employers about financial support for OPEB that is provided by other entities. This statement is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2017. The City has not determined the effect on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 76 – In June 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 76, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles for State and Local Governments*. The objective of this statement is to identify—in the context of the current governmental financial reporting environment—the hierarchy of generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). This statement is effective for periods beginning after June 15, 2015. The City has not determined the effect on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 77 – In August 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 77, *Tax Abatement Disclosures*. This statement requires governments that enter into tax abatement agreements to disclose certain information about the agreements. The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The City has not determined the effect on the financial statements.

GASB Statement No. 78 – In December 2015, GASB issued Statement No. 78, *Pensions Provided through Certain Multiple-Employer Defined Benefit Pension Plans*. This statement is associated with pensions provided through certain multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans and to state or local governmental employers whose employees are provided with such pensions. The requirements of this statement are effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. The City has not determined the effect on the financial statements.

NOTE 2 - BUDGETS AND BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING

The City follows these procedures in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements:

1. The City Manager submits to the City Council a proposed operating budget biannually July 1. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them.
2. Public hearings are conducted to obtain taxpayer comments.
3. The budget is legally enacted through passage of separate resolutions for the City.
4. The City Manager is authorized to transfer budgeted amounts from one program, department or account to another within the same fund. All transfers of appropriations affecting Personnel Service type accounts require the Director of Human Resources and City Manager approval. Expenditures may not legally exceed budgeted appropriations at the fund level without City Council approval.
5. The City is required to adopt an annual operating budget on or before June 30 for all funds. From the effective date of the budget, the amounts stated therein as proposed expenditures become appropriations to the various funds. The City Council may amend the budget during the fiscal year.
6. All governmental fund type annual operating budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with generally accepted accounting principles, except for capital outlay expenditures for Special Revenue Funds which are budgeted on a project time frame rather than on an annual basis, in conjunction with #7 below.
7. The City also adopts budgets for its Capital Projects, which are based on the project life rather than a fiscal year. Therefore, capital project budgets may span several fiscal years. Project appropriations transfers of \$20,000 or more require City Council approval.

Encumbrances

Encumbrance accounting is employed as an extension of formal budgetary integration in the governmental funds. Under encumbrance accounting, purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation.

Appropriation Lapses

Unexpended appropriations lapse at year end unless budgeted on a project basis.

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The City's dependence on property tax receipts, which are received semi-annually, requires it to maintain significant cash reserves to finance operations during the remainder of the year. The City pools cash from all sources and all funds except Cash with Fiscal Agents so that it can be safely invested at maximum yields. Individual funds are able to make expenditures at any time during the year.

Policies

All investments are carried at fair value and as a general rule investment income is allocated among funds on the basis of average monthly cash and investment balances in these funds. Interest income on certain investments is allocated based on the source of the investment and legal requirements which apply.

California Law requires banks and savings and loan institutions to pledge government securities with a market value of 110% of the City's cash on deposit, or first trust deed mortgage notes with a market value of 150% of the deposit, as collateral for these deposits. Under California Law this collateral is held in a separate investment pool by another institution in the City's name and places the City ahead of general creditors of the institution.

Cash and investments are used in preparing proprietary fund statements of cash flows because these assets are highly liquid and are expended to liquidate liabilities arising during the year.

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)**Classification**

Cash and investments are classified in the financial statements as shown below at June 30, 2015:

City:	Governmental Funds	Enterprise Funds	Internal Service Funds	Fiduciary Funds	Total
Cash and investments in City pool	\$ 89,093,141	\$ 25,445,032	\$ 28,309,004	\$ 35,861,419	\$ 178,708,596
Cash and investments with fiscal agents	651,440	256,896		832,257	1,740,593
Total cash and investments	<u>\$ 89,744,581</u>	<u>\$ 25,701,928</u>	<u>\$ 28,309,004</u>	<u>\$ 36,693,676</u>	<u>\$ 180,449,189</u>

Investments Authorized by the California Government Code and the City's Investment Policy

The City of Concord operates its investment activities under the prudent man rule. This affords the City a broad spectrum of investment opportunities as long as the investment is deemed prudent and is allowable under current statutes of the State of California. The City is authorized to invest in the following types of instruments, and the table also identifies certain provisions of the California Government Code, or the City's investment policy where it is more restrictive:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Minimum Credit Quality	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment In One Issuer
U.S. Treasury Bills, Bonds and Notes Obligations issued by United States Government Agencies	5 years	None	None	None
State obligations- CA & Others	5 years	None Third Highest Rating Category	20%	None
Bankers Acceptance	180 days	Top rating category	30%	5%
Commercial Paper	270 days	Top rating category	25% (A)	5%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	Third Highest Rating Category	30%	5
Medium Term Corporate Notes	5 years	Third Highest Rating Category	30% (A)	5
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	Top rating category	20%	None
California Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None	\$50 Mil/account
Time Certificates of Deposit	5 years	Satisfactory	None	10%
Shares of Beneficial Interest Issued by a Joint Powers Authority (local government investment pool such as CAMP)	N/A	Pursuant to Government Code Section 6509.7	None	None

(A) Total combined corporate debt (Commercial Paper and Medium Term Notes) may not exceed 30% of the cost value of the portfolio.

Under the City's Investment Policy, investments not described above are ineligible investments. In addition, the City may not invest any funds in inverse floaters, range notes, or interest only strips that are derived from a pool of mortgages in accordance with the California Government Code. Any security that derives its value from another asset or index is prohibited. In addition, the City may not invest any funds in any security that could result in zero interest accrual if held to maturity.

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)**Investments Authorized by Debt Agreements**

The City must maintain required amounts of cash and investments with trustees or fiscal agents under the terms of certain debt issues. These funds are unexpended bond proceeds or are pledged reserves to be used if the City fails to meet its obligations under these debt issues. The California Government Code requires these funds to be invested in accordance with City resolutions, bond indentures or State statutes. The table below identifies the investment types that are authorized for investments held by fiscal agents. The table also identifies certain provisions of these debt agreements:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Maturity	Minimum Credit Quality
Federal Agency Securities	5 years	Top rating category
State of California Local Agency Investment Fund	None	None
Commercial Paper	270 days	Top rating category
Negotiable Certificate of Deposits	180 days	Top rating category
Bank Deposits	None	FDIC insured
U.S. Government Treasury Obligations	None	None
State/Local Obligations	None	Top rating category
Federal Securities	None	Top rating category
Corporate Notes	None	Top rating category
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	Top rating category
Money Market Mutual Funds	None	Top rating category
Investment Agreements	None	Top rating category

Retirement System Authorized Investments

The System's investment policy authorizes the System to invest in financial instruments in three broad investment categories: equity, fixed income, and real estate. These financial instruments can include, but are not limited to, corporate bonds, commercial paper, U.S. government securities, common and preferred stock, real estate investment trusts, and mutual funds. Fixed income investments may include bonds and commercial paper in order to provide added flexibility in managing the fixed income portfolio.

The asset allocation ranges for the system are as follows:

	Target Mix	Allocation Ranges	
		Minimum	Maximum
Large/Medium Cap Domestic Equity	30%	20%	45%
International Equity	7.5	2	15
Small Cap Equity	7.5	2	15
Domestic Real Estate	0	0	10
Domestic Fixed Income	50	40	60
Cash	5	0	20

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)**Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Normally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. One of the ways the City manages its exposure to interest rate risk is by purchasing a combination of shorter term and longer term investments and by timing cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations.

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the City's and Retirement System's investments (including investments held by bond trustees) to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following table that shows the distribution of the City's investments by maturity or earliest call date:

City and Fiscal Agents:

	Remaining Maturity (in Months)				Total
	12 months or Less	13 to 24 Months	25 to 36 Months	37 to 60 Months	
Investment Type:					
U.S. Government Agencies	\$ 2,009,933	\$ 3,276,608	\$ 4,147,414	\$ 1,911,099	\$ 11,345,054
U.S. Treasury Notes	501,961	7,607,891		6,092,543	14,202,395
Medium Term Corporate Notes	1,616,004	4,120,043	6,030,586	3,856,476	15,623,109
Municipal Bonds	691,628		1,585,697	317,756	2,595,081
LAIF	68,226,179				68,226,179
Cal Trust	5,021,087			14,985,437	20,006,524
Certificates of Deposit	2,613,908	5,291,806	2,198,737	792,363	10,896,814
Total	<u>\$ 80,680,700</u>	<u>\$ 20,296,348</u>	<u>\$ 13,962,434</u>	<u>\$ 27,955,674</u>	<u>142,895,156</u>
Cash deposits with banks and on hand					<u>3,152,391</u>
Total Cash and Investments					<u>\$ 146,047,547</u>

Retirement Trust Funds:

	Remaining Maturity (in Months)				Total
	12 months or Less	13 to 24 Months	25 to 36 Months	37 to 60 Months	
Investment Type:					
Pooled Cash and Investments	\$ 1,938,718	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,938,718
Medium Term Corporate Notes	99,849		258,205	8,683,301	9,041,355
US Government Agencies		84,966	1,497,401	4,902,000	6,484,367
Municipal Bonds				670,324	670,324
Foreign Bonds				698,797	698,797
Total	<u>\$ 2,038,567</u>	<u>\$ 84,966</u>	<u>\$ 1,755,606</u>	<u>\$ 14,954,422</u>	<u>18,833,561</u>

Non-Maturing Investments:

Mutual Funds					<u>15,568,081</u>
Total Cash and Investments					<u>\$ 34,401,642</u>

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

The City and the Retirement System are participants in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) that is regulated by California Government Code Section 16429 under the oversight of the Treasurer of the State of California. The City reports its investment in LAIF at the fair value amount provided by LAIF, which is the same as the value of the pool share. The balance is available for withdrawal on demand, and is based on the accounting records maintained by LAIF, which are recorded on an amortized cost basis. Included in LAIF's investment portfolio are collateralized mortgage obligations, mortgage-backed securities, other asset-backed securities, loans to certain state funds, and floating rate securities issued by federal agencies, government-sponsored enterprises, United States Treasury Notes and Bills, and corporations.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. Presented below is the actual rating as of June 30, 2015 for each investment type as provided by Standard & Poor's and Moody's for the City's investments and for the Retirement System's investments.

City and Fiscal Agents:

Investment Type	AAA / Aam	AA+ / AA / AA-	A+ / A / A-	Total
US Treasury Notes	\$ -	\$ 14,202,395	\$ -	\$ 14,202,395
U.S. Government Agencies		11,345,054		11,345,054
Municipal Bonds		505,635	2,089,446	2,595,081
Medium Term Corporate Notes	1,099,107	7,424,544	7,099,458	15,623,109
Certificates of Deposit		4,474,676	6,422,138	10,896,814
Total	\$ 1,099,107	\$ 37,952,304	\$ 15,611,042	\$ 54,662,453
Not rated:				
Cash on Hand				\$ 3,152,391
LAIF				68,226,179
Cal Trust				20,006,524
Total Investments				\$ 146,047,547

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)**Retirement Trust Funds:**

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>AAA / Aaam</u>	<u>AA+/AA/AA-</u>	<u>A+/A/A-</u>	<u>Baa1 / Baa2 / Baa3</u>	<u>Total</u>
Medium Term Corporate Notes	\$ 1,693,532	\$ -	\$ 3,374,593	\$ 3,756,091	\$ 8,824,216
U.S. Government Agencies	3,137,365				3,137,365
Municipal Bonds	266,479	217,298	186,547		670,324
Foreign Bonds		75,499	508,654	114,644	698,797
Total	<u>\$ 5,097,376</u>	<u>\$ 292,797</u>	<u>\$ 4,069,794</u>	<u>\$ 3,870,735</u>	<u>\$ 13,330,702</u>

Not rated:

Cash On Hand	555,942
Pooled Investments	661,574
Money Market Mutual Funds	721,202
U.S. Government Agencies	3,347,002
Medium Term Corporate Notes	217,139
Mutual Funds	15,568,081
Total Investments	<u>\$ 34,401,642</u>

Concentration of Credit Risk

Investments in the securities of any individual issuer, other than U. S. Treasury securities, that represent 5% or more of total Retirement Trust Fund investments are as follows at June 30, 2015:

Retirement Trust Funds:

<u>Fund</u>	<u>Issuer</u>	<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Retirement Trust Fund:			
	S&P 500 ETF	Mutual Funds - Equity	\$ 3,485,026
	S&P 500 Growth Index ETF	Mutual Funds - Equity	2,187,592
	S&P 500 Value Index ETF	Mutual Funds - Equity	2,172,468

NOTE 4 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS**Internal Balances**

Internal balances represent the net interfund receivables and payable remaining after the elimination of all such balances within governmental and business-type activities.

Long-Term Interfund Advances

At June 30, 2015 the funds below had made the following advances:

Fund Receiving Advance	Fund Making Advance	Amount of Advance
General Fund	Concord Housing Fund	\$ 2,880,522 (a)
	Sewer Enterprise Fund	2,858,617 (a)
	Sewer Enterprise Fund	550,000 (a)
	Worker's Compensation Internal Service Fund	1,923,077 (b)
	General Fund	3,330,983 (c)
General Projects Fund		
Non-major governmental funds		
Maintenance Districts		
Special Revenue Fund	Traffic System Management Special Revenue Fund	159,000 (d)
Special Developers		
Capital Projects Fund	General Fund	1,132,473 (e)
Non-major enterprise fund		
Golf Course Enterprise Fund	General Fund	773,648 (f)
		<u>\$ 13,608,320</u>

- (a) This **General Fund** advance was made during fiscal year 2009-2010 to fund the retirement of \$8.2 million of the 1995 Lease Revenue Bonds. The advance bears interest at the LAIF rate plus 0.5% to be paid on a quarterly basis. As a result of this nonrecurring long-term advance, the City is no longer obligated to pay 8.24% interest on the retired bonds. The General Fund will repay these advances annually starting no later than fiscal year 2014-2015, with a final payment expected in fiscal year 2030 and will pay approximately \$1.9 million in interest over the life of the repayment.
- (b) This **General Fund** advance was made during the fiscal year 2009-2010 to fund the retirement of \$8.2 million of the 1995 Lease Revenue Bonds. The advance will be repaid in installments starting no later than fiscal year 2014-15 and bears no interest.
- (c) The **General Reimbursable Projects Fund** advance will be repaid in 2016 with sale proceeds of undeveloped land.
- (d) The **Maintenance Districts Fund** advance will be repaid in 6 annual payments beginning in fiscal year 2012 and bears interest from 3.5 - 5%.
- (e) The **Special Developers Fund** advance will be repaid in installments starting in fiscal year 2019 and bears interest of 3% as described in the City's Capital Improvement Program 10 year plan.
- (f) The **Golf Enterprise Fund** advance was made during fiscal years 2007 and 2013 and will be factored into the next 10 year budget plan and repaid as business improves within the regional golf market.

NOTE 4 - INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS (CONTINUED)**Transfers between funds**

With Council approval, resources may be transferred from one City fund to another without a requirement for repayment. Transfers between funds during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 were as follows:

Fund Receiving Transfers	Fund Making Transfers	Purpose	Amount Transferred
Major Funds:			
General Fund	Special Revenue - Traffic Systems Management	To Fund Capital Projects	\$ 10,500
General Fund	Storm Water Management	To Fund Operating Costs	448,725
General Fund	Debt Service - Performing Arts Rev Bond	To Fund Debt Service	768,111
General Projects	General Fund	To Fund Capital Projects	1,420,000
General Projects	General Fund	To Fund Capital Projects	149,015
General Projects	Internal Service Fund-Information Tech	To Fund Capital Projects	24,271
Non-major Governmental Funds:			
Special Revenue Funds:			
Art in Public Places	Special Revenue-Maintenace District	To Fund Capital Projects	8,150
Capital Projects Funds:			
Intergovernmental	General Reimbursable Projects	To Fund Capital Projects	43,435
Intergovernmental	General Fund	To Fund Capital Projects	9
Intergovernmental	Special Developer	To Fund Capital Projects	937
Intergovernmental	General Reimbursable Projects	To Fund Capital Projects	17,499
Special Developer	General Reimbursable Projects	To Fund Capital Projects	12,653
Special Developer	Intergovernmental	To Fund Capital Projects	43,435
Debt Service Funds:			
Debt Serv - Refunding Lease Agreement	Risk Management	To Fund Debt Service	425,015
Debt Service - Energy Lease BofA	General Fund	To Fund Debt Service	251,768
Debt Service - Energy Lease BofA	Internal Service Fund-Building Maintenance	To Fund Debt Service	167,846
Debt Service - Energy Lease BofA	Special Revenue-Maintenace District	To Fund Debt Service	279,743
Debt Service - Performing Arts Rev Bond	General Fund	To Fund Debt Service	622,919
Internal Service Funds:			
Risk Mgmt Liability	Workers Comp	To Fund Workers Compensation Costs	100,000
Building Maintenance	General Fund	To Fund Operating Costs	145,000
Building Maintenance	General Reimbursable Projects	To Fund Capital Projects	74,266
Information Tech Replacement	General Reimbursable Projects	To Fund INET Project	170,000
		Total Transfers	<u>\$ 5,183,297</u>

NOTE 5 - LOANS AND NOTES RECEIVABLE

The City and former Redevelopment Agency (the Agency) engaged in programs designed to encourage business enterprises or construction or improvement in low-to-moderate income housing or other projects. Under these programs, grants or loans are provided under favorable terms to businesses, home-owners or developers who agree to spend these funds in accordance with the City's terms. Although these loans and notes are expected to be repaid in full, their balance has been offset by deferred revenue. With the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency, the City agreed to become the Successor Agency and oversee the dissolution. The Successor Agency subsequently assigned the City assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund to the City, including the loans and notes. They are not expected to be collected during fiscal year 2015. These loans and notes were comprised of the following at June 30, 2015:

Concord Housing Fund

Downtown Revitalization and	
Low and Moderate Income Housing Rehabilitation	\$ 17,179,933
Successor Agency Loan	9,495,937
Virginia Lane	1,984,198
Lakeside Apartments	2,391,499
Total Concord Housing Fund	<u>31,051,567</u>
Non-major Governmental Funds	
Housing Conservation	1,026,809
Housing Assistance	50,000
Virginia Lane	450,000
Lakeside Apartments	325,445
Total Non-major Governmental Funds	<u>1,852,254</u>
Total loans and notes receivable	<u><u>\$ 32,903,821</u></u>

Downtown Revitalization and Low and Moderate Income Housing Rehabilitation

Low and no interest loans were made by the former Redevelopment Agency to provide businesses assistance for rehabilitating buildings in the downtown area and to businesses or individuals for the rehabilitation of housing within the City of Concord owned and/or occupied by persons of low and moderate income.

Non-housing assets of the former Redevelopment Agency including the Concord Residential Club Loan were assumed by a Successor Agency as discussed in Note 19. The former Redevelopment Agency's portion of this loan has a principal balance of \$230,000 and interest balance of \$341,550 for a total of \$557,750 and is recorded in the Successor Agency Trust Fund. At June 30, 2015 the loan receivable balance was \$17,179,933.

Successor Agency Loan

Beginning in 1986, the former Redevelopment Agency General Capital Projects Fund had been required by the State to set aside 20% of property tax increments for low and moderate income housing. However, under a transition rule, the Agency's General Capital Projects Fund has been allowed to use these set-asides for current capital projects as long as it had a written plan for repaying these amounts to the Concord Housing Special Revenue Fund. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33334.6, the former Redevelopment Agency was permitted to set aside less than 20% of the tax increment that it received to the extent that it spent the tax increment revenue for the Agency's debt incurred prior to 1986 or for Agency projects approved prior to 1986, as long as it had a written plan for repaying these amounts to the Concord Housing Special Revenue Fund.

NOTE 5 - LOANS AND NOTES RECEIVABLE (CONTINUED)

With the dissolution of the former Redevelopment Agency effective February 1, 2012, the Successor Agency assumed the obligation to repay the above advance and has recorded a loan payable to the Concord Housing Fund. These loans had previously been reported as interfund advances. However, with the transfer of the associated liabilities to the Successor Agency, repayment of the loans is subject to the provisions of Health and Safety Code Section 34176 and Assembly Bill 1484. The advance does not bear interest and at June 30, 2015 the loan receivable balance was \$9,495,937.

Virginia Lane

In June 1999, the City and the former Redevelopment Agency entered into a \$1,984,200 loan agreement with Virginia Lane Limited Partnership for the rehabilitation of Golden Glen and Maplewood Apartments. An additional loan of \$450,000 was made in fiscal year 2007 which brought the loan total to \$2,434,200. Of the \$450,000, \$100,000 was funded by Community Development Block Grant funds and \$350,000 was funded by California Housing Finance Agency funds. The outstanding balance of the loan bears interest at a rate of 3% per annum. The repayments on the loan shall be made from residual receipts. The City expects the loan to be repaid on March 2, 2061. At June 30, 2015 the loan receivable balance was \$2,434,198.

Lakeside Apartments

The City and the Agency entered into a \$3,433,945 loan agreement with Lakeside Apartments, L.P. for the acquisition and rehabilitation of Lakeside apartments. An additional loan of \$283,000 was made in fiscal year 2007 which brought the loan total to \$3,716,945. Of the \$283,000, \$110,000 was funded by Community Development Block Grants, \$93,000 was funded by Redevelopment Agency, and \$80,000 was funded by California State EAGR funds. The outstanding balance of the loan bears interest at a rate of 1% per annum. The City expects the loan to be repaid on November 5, 2058. At June 30, 2015 the loan receivable balance was \$2,716,944.

Housing Conservation

This program involves loans made to rehabilitate housing within the City of Concord which are funded by Community Development Block Grant and former Redevelopment Agency monies. The loans bear interest ranging from 0-3% with due dates varying from October 2014 through the sale or transfer of the property. At June 30, 2015 the loan receivable balance was \$1,026,809.

Housing Assistance

This program provides housing assistance to Concord residents through a variety of housing programs. These loans bear no interest and principal payment is due upon sale or transfer of the property. At June 30, 2015 the loan receivable balance was \$50,000.

NOTE 6 - CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset transactions and balances comprise the following at June 30, 2015:

	Balance at June 30, 2014	Additions	Retirements	Transfers	Balance at June 30, 2015
Governmental Activities					
Capital assets not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 15,149,712				\$ 15,149,712
Construction in progress	2,421,373	\$ 2,459,426		\$ (628,342)	4,252,457
Total capital assets not being depreciated	<u>17,571,085</u>	<u>2,459,426</u>		<u>(628,342)</u>	<u>19,402,169</u>
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Ground improvements	21,023,528			321,973	21,345,501
Buildings and improvements	80,061,505			123,999	80,185,504
Machinery and equipment	14,168,714	328,749		126,000	14,623,463
Vehicles	9,309,509	1,021,747	\$ (480,255)	56,370	9,907,371
Streets	430,195,426	2,128,556			432,323,982
Sidewalks	50,400,447	985,222			51,385,669
Storm drain/catch basins	443,308,069				443,308,069
Street lights	5,766,714				5,766,714
Traffic signals	27,298,437	1,373,932			28,672,369
Total capital assets being depreciated	<u>1,081,532,349</u>	<u>5,838,206</u>	<u>(480,255)</u>	<u>628,342</u>	<u>1,087,518,642</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Ground improvements	(9,638,906)	(531,533)			(10,170,439)
Buildings and improvements	(37,132,554)	(2,262,398)			(39,394,952)
Machinery and equipment	(10,798,223)	(988,508)			(11,786,731)
Vehicles	(7,356,090)	(858,837)	480,255		(7,734,672)
Streets	(253,733,106)	(14,375,323)			(268,108,429)
Sidewalks	(22,497,636)	(1,017,861)			(23,515,497)
Storm drain/catch basins	(100,610,059)	(4,433,081)			(105,043,140)
Street lights	(748,217)	(192,224)			(940,441)
Traffic signals	(18,414,652)	(932,847)			(19,347,499)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(460,929,443)</u>	<u>(25,592,612)</u>	<u>480,255</u>		<u>(486,041,800)</u>
Governmental activities capital assets, net	<u>\$ 638,173,991</u>	<u>\$ (17,294,980)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 620,879,011</u>
	Balance at June 30, 2014	Additions	Retirements	Transfers	Balance at June 30, 2015
Business-Type Activities					
Capital assets not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 395,182	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 395,182
Construction in progress	243,759	708,521			952,280
Total capital assets not being depreciated	<u>638,941</u>	<u>708,521</u>			<u>1,347,462</u>
Capital assets being depreciated:					
Buildings and improvements	8,708,986				8,708,986
Machinery and equipment	248,366	85,531			333,897
Sewer lines	219,042,107				219,042,107
Total capital assets being depreciated	<u>227,999,459</u>	<u>85,531</u>			<u>228,084,990</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings and improvements	(5,572,581)	(234,149)			(5,806,730)
Machinery and equipment	(244,076)	(5,230)			(249,306)
Sewer lines	(145,381,050)	(4,285,267)			(149,666,317)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(151,197,707)</u>	<u>(4,524,646)</u>			<u>(155,722,353)</u>
Business-type activities capital assets, net	<u>\$ 77,440,693</u>	<u>\$ (3,730,594)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 73,710,099</u>

NOTE 6 - CAPITAL ASSETS (CONTINUED)**Depreciation Allocation – Governmental Activities**

Depreciation expense is charged to functions and programs based on their usage of the related assets. The amounts allocated to each function or program was as follows:

Governmental Activities

General Government	\$ 739,489
Public Works	22,081,398
Parks and Recreation Services	102,770
Internal Service Fund	2,676,326
Total	<u><u>\$ 25,599,983</u></u>

Business-Type Activities

Sewer	\$ 4,285,267
Golf Course	239,379
Total	<u><u>\$ 4,524,646</u></u>

NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT**Description and Activity**

The City generally incurs long-term debt to finance projects or purchase assets which will have useful lives equal to or greater than the related debt.

The City's governmental activities long-term debt is recorded only in the government-wide financial statements. This debt will be repaid only out of governmental funds but is not accounted for in these funds because this debt does not require an appropriation or expenditure in this accounting period.

In governmental fund types, debt discounts and issuance costs are recognized in the current period. Debt discounts and issuance costs incurred by proprietary fund types are deferred and amortized over the term of the debt using the bonds-outstanding method, which approximates the effective interest method.

The City's debt issues and compensated absence transactions are summarized on the next page and discussed in detail thereafter.

NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)**Current Year Transactions and Balances**

	Repayment Source	June 30, 2014	Additions	Retirements	June 30, 2015	Current Portion
Governmental Activities Debt:						
Revenue Bonds:						
1995 Performing Arts Lease Revenue Bonds, 6.33-8.24% due 08/01/20	a	\$ 2,440,000		\$ 440,000	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 405,000
Parking Garage Revenue Bonds, 4.0-5.13% due 3/01/23	b	5,230,000		5,230,000		
Lease Revenue Financing Agreement 1.91% due 3/01/25	b		\$ 22,635,000		22,635,000	2,095,000
Refunding Lease Agreement						
3.3% due 09/01/19	b	3,484,500		885,500	2,599,000	482,500
Lease Purchase Agreement						
4.75% due 06/30/27	d	7,741,738		335,437	7,406,301	373,457
Notes Payable:						
CHFA 3% due 03/01/15	e	472,377		472,377		
Capital Lease:						
Motorola Safety Radio 3.03%, due 12/01/16	f	564,043		182,431	381,612	187,959
Cisco VOP Equipment 3.95% due 07/09/14	f	91,788		91,788		
Color Hybrid Multi-Function Printer Equipment 5.5% due 11/01/16	f	37,788		28,058	9,730	9,730
NetApp Capital Solutions Software are 3.55% due 04/01/15	f	176,991		86,930	90,061	90,061
Motorola Safety Radio 3.55% due 08/1/18	f	271,731		50,620	221,111	52,418
Key Government Finance 4.59% due 2/25/17	f	20,052		6,418	13,634	6,724
US Bank 000 4.76% due 10/15/17	f	186,453		53,521	132,932	56,124
US Bank 001 4.76% due 5/2/18	f	52,044		12,382	39,662	12,984
Key Government Finance 3.36% due 12/12/18	f	385,649		104,658	280,991	104,658
Quest Technology Management due 9/30/19	f		115,834	27,204	88,630	27,204
Subtotal		<u>21,155,154</u>	<u>22,750,834</u>	<u>8,007,324</u>	<u>35,898,664</u>	<u>3,903,819</u>
Compensated Absences	c	3,984,459	3,234,762	3,043,834	4,175,387	2,621,095
Total Governmental Activities Debt		<u>\$ 25,139,613</u>	<u>\$ 25,985,596</u>	<u>\$ 11,051,158</u>	<u>\$ 40,074,051</u>	<u>\$ 6,524,914</u>
Business-Type Activities Debt:						
2012 - Wastewater Revenue Refunding Bonds 1.50-4.00% due 02/01/29	g	\$ 9,115,000	\$ -	\$ 500,000	\$ 8,615,000	\$ 510,000
Plus premium on refunding bonds		170,267		24,324	145,943	
2007 Certificates of Participation - Wastewater System Improvement 3.75-4.5% due 02/01/32	g	10,300,000		400,000	9,900,000	415,000
ABAG 41 Certificates of Participation - Diablo Creek Golf Course 4.0-5.0% due 08/01/18	h	990,000		180,000	810,000	185,000
Subtotal		<u>20,575,267</u>		<u>1,104,324</u>	<u>19,470,943</u>	<u>1,110,000</u>
Compensated Absences	c	117,951	113,974	180,847	51,078	36,671
Total Business-Type Activities Debt		<u>\$ 20,693,218</u>	<u>\$ 113,974</u>	<u>\$ 1,285,171</u>	<u>\$ 19,522,021</u>	<u>\$ 1,146,671</u>

Repayments on the above debt are made from the following sources:

- Lease revenues received by Live Nation and from general & operating revenues.
- Lease revenues received by the Successor Agency and from general & operating revenues.
- General Fund revenues.
- Savings from the energy efficiency improvements.
- Notes payable received by the Housing and Community Services Special Revenue Fund. Included is \$305,183 in principal and the remaining balance is interest.
- Operating revenues available for lease payment in the Information Technology Replacement Internal Service Fund.
- Operating revenues received by the Sewer Enterprise Fund.
- General and operating revenues available for lease payment in the ABAG Debt Service Fund and Golf Course Enterprise Fund.

NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)**Debt Service Requirements**

Debt service and capitalized lease requirements are shown below for all long-term debt:

For the Year Ending June 30,	Governmental Activities		Business-Type Activities	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
2016	\$ 3,903,820	\$ 981,113	\$ 1,110,000	\$ 697,967
2017	3,853,201	900,110	1,140,000	665,346
2018	3,646,474	791,307	1,195,000	618,621
2019	3,606,852	681,487	1,250,000	569,396
2020	3,630,556	888,655	1,065,000	522,771
2021-2025	15,797,083	1,512,466	5,845,000	2,105,244
2026-2030	1,460,678	70,378	6,155,000	1,028,488
2031-2032			1,565,000	106,425
Total requirements	\$ 35,898,664	\$ 5,825,516	\$ 19,325,000	\$ 6,314,258
Plus unamortized premium			145,943	
Total	\$ 35,898,664	\$ 5,825,516	\$ 19,470,943	\$ 6,314,258

The City's bond indentures contain significant limitations and restrictions regarding annual debt service requirements, maintenance of and flow of monies through various restricted accounts and minimum revenue bond coverage. City management believes the City is in compliance with all such indenture requirements.

Revenue Bonds

On August 1, 1995, the City of Concord Joint Powers Financing Authority issued Lease Revenue Bonds in the principal amount of \$18,700,000, bearing interest at rates ranging from 6.33% to 8.24% due August 1, 2020. The Bonds are collateralized by revenue received from the City by the Authority under the Concord Pavilion lease agreement and by the Authority's interest in the site and facilities lease. Proceeds from the Bonds were used to repay the Concord Performing Arts Center Authority's 1973 Revenue Bonds, due in 1999, and partially finance the renovation and expansion of Concord Pavilion which is leased by the City from the Authority.

On September 21, 2009, the City issued a tender offer for the 1995 Performing Arts Lease Revenue Bonds for up to \$8.5 million. As a result the City purchased \$8.235 million of the bonds at an 8% premium and made a payment to retire that portion of the bonds. As a result of this transaction, the City has lowered its interest liability from 8.24% to bondholders to LAIF plus 0.5% interest to the Concord Housing Fund and the Sewer Enterprise Fund of the City. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding was \$2,000,000.

NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)

On April 4, 2001, the City of Concord Joint Powers Financing Authority issued Lease Revenue Bonds in the principal amount of \$9,580,000, bearing interest at rates ranging from 4.0% to 5.13% due March 1, 2023. The Bonds are collateralized by revenue received from the City by the Authority under the Civic Center and Corporation Yard lease agreement and by the Authority's interest in the site and facilities lease. Proceeds from the Bonds were used to finance the design and construction, and to equip and landscape a new three-level, 432-space parking structure which is leased by the City from the Authority. The former Redevelopment Agency agreed to reimburse the City for these lease payments. The reimbursement agreement was approved by the State of California Department of Finance as part of their Other Funds and Accounts Due Diligence Review, dated June 11, 2013, in reference to the Redevelopment Agency dissolution. The principal balance was paid in full during fiscal year 2015 as the revenue bonds were defeased with the issuance of the 2014 TABs discussed in footnote 19.

On March 1, 2015, the City entered into a lease financing agreement with the Public Property Financing Corporation of California and assigned to the Bank of the West for the original principal amount of \$22,635,000 at interest rates that range from 1.91% to provide for street and infrastructure improvements in the City. Principal and interest payments are due annually on March 1 and September 1 through March 1, 2025. Repayment of these bonds is from a pledge of revenue from lease revenue. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding was \$22,635,000.

On September 18, 2012, the City issued Wastewater Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2012 in the original principal amount of \$10,080,000 at interest rates that range from 1.50% to 4.00% to provide for a refunding of the City's outstanding 2004 Certificates of Participation Wastewater System Improvement Bonds. Principal payments are due annually on February 1, with interest payments payable semi-annually on August 1 and February 1 through February 1, 2029. Repayment of these bonds is from a pledge of revenue from the Sewer Enterprise Fund. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding was \$8,615,000.

The net proceeds of \$9,992,336 (after issuance costs of \$306,578, plus premium of \$218,914) were used to advance refund the 2004 Certificates of Participation Wastewater System Improvement bonds with a total principal amount of \$10,080,000 and interest rates between 1.5% to 4.00%. The net proceeds were used to purchase U.S. government securities. Those securities were deposited with an escrow agent to provide for future debt service payments on the refunded bonds. As a result, the refundable bonds are considered to be defeased, and the related liability for the bonds has been removed from the City's liabilities. The outstanding bonds as of June 30, 2015 were \$8,615,000.

The advance refunding was done in order to reduce debt interest payments. The refunding decreased the City's total debt service payments by approximately \$1,400,000. The transaction resulted in an economic gain (difference between the present value of the debt service on the old and the new bonds) of approximately \$306,000.

Certificates of Participation

On July 1, 1998, the City issued \$3,560,000 of Certificates of Participation (COPs) to fund Diablo Creek Golf Course improvements and to defease \$810,000 of outstanding ABAG 41 Certificates of Participation. Proceeds from the COPs were placed in an irrevocable trust to provide for the future debt service payments on the defeased COPs. The defeased COPs were called December 1, 1998. The COPs bear interest at 4.0% - 5.0% and are due August 1, 2018. Principal payments are due annually on August 1. Interest payments are due semi-annually on February 1 and August 1. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding was \$810,000.

NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)

On October 18, 2007, the City of Concord Joint Powers Financing Authority issued Certificates of Participation (COPs) in the principal amount of \$12,820,000, bearing interest rates ranging from 3.75% to 4.50%. Proceeds from the COPs were used to fund the next phase of the wastewater system improvement project to install pipelines from the Concord pump station to the intersection of Meridian Park Boulevard and Galaxy Way. Principal is payable annually on February 1 and interest is payable semi-annually February 1 and August 1 through 2032. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding was \$9,900,000.

Under related installment agreements, the City remits installments to the Authority which is used to repay debt service on the 2007 COPS. The City has pledged Wastewater System Net Revenues defined as gross revenues less operating and maintenance expenses, to be used to make required installments. The pledge of future Net Revenues ends upon repayment of the \$14,216,609 million in remaining debt service on the COPS which is scheduled to occur in 2032. As disclosed in the originating offering documents, projected net revenues are expected to provide coverage over debt service of 3.40 over the life of the bonds. For fiscal year 2015, Wastewater System Revenues including operating revenues and non-operating interest earnings amounted to \$24,439,220 and maintenance and operating costs amounted to \$20,787,202. Payments made to the Central Contra Costa Sanitary District for the City of Concord's share of district capital projects are treated as expenses in the Sewer Enterprise Fund since the related capital assets are not owned by the City. In recognition of the capital nature of these expenses, the covenants expressly exclude these payments from the calculation of maintenance and operating costs for coverage purposes. In fiscal year 2015 these costs totaled \$2,897,491. Adjusted net revenues available for debt service amounted to \$6,549,510, which represented coverage of 12.8% of the \$836,353 in debt service on the COPS.

Refunding Lease Agreement

On June 24, 2010 the City and the former Redevelopment Agency entered into a Refunding Lease Agreement (Agreement) in the amount of \$5,075,000. The proceeds from the Agreement were used to retire a portion of the outstanding Police Facility Lease Revenue Bonds and to refund certain other City obligations. As part of the Agreement the Authority leases the Corporation Yard to the City which provides the funds to service the Authority's debt service requirements for the Agreement. The Agreement bears interest at 3.6% and is due semi-annually on March 1 and September 1. Principal payments are due annually on September 1 until September 1, 2019. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding was \$2,599,000

Lease Purchase Agreement

On January 25, 2011, the City entered into a lease purchase agreement with Chevron Energy Service Company in the amount of \$8,434,970. The proceeds from the agreement are used reduce citywide utility costs by making energy efficiency improvements. The agreement bears interest at 4.75% and is due semi-annually on June 1 and December 1. Principal payments are due semi-annually on June 1 and December 1 until December 1, 2026. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding was \$7,406,301.

Notes Payable

The City entered into two loan agreements with California Housing Finance Agency (CHFA); \$1,000,000 was used for a loan to Lakeside apartments (see Note 6), and \$1,600,000 is to be used for the Detroit Avenue Apartments loan (see Note 6) and a Multifamily Acquisition and Rehabilitation Loan Program. During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2011, the City paid off the Lakeside portion of the note. As of June 30, 2007, the City had drawn down \$600,000 for the Detroit Avenue Apartment loan, and \$350,000 for the Virginia Lane projects; the remaining \$650,000 will not be drawn down. The CHFA funds bear interest at a 3.0% simple rate and all payments of principal and interest are deferred for a ten year period. The principal balance of the note was paid in full during fiscal year 2015.

NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)**Capital Leases**

On November 30, 2010, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$1,279,294 for the purchase of radio subscriber units for the Police Department. The City agreed to pay the lease in annual payments for \$250,609 for seven years. Since the lease is in essence a financing agreement, the cost of the equipment and the amount of the lease has been included in the City's financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding on the lease was \$381,612.

On July 13, 2010, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$441,698 for the purchase of Voice over internet protocol (VOIP) equipment. The lease bears interest at 3.95% and is due annually on July 9 annually. The principal payment is due annually on July 9 until July 9, 2014. The lease obligation was paid in full during fiscal year 2015.

On September 30, 2010, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$127,566 for hybrid color multi-function printer equipment. The lease bears interest at 5.5% monthly and the City agreed to pay the lease in monthly payments of \$2,462 for 5 years. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding on the lease was \$9,730.

On June 30, 2012, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$421,283 for network attached storage equipment. The lease bears interest at 3.55% quarterly and the City agreed to pay the lease in quarterly payments for \$23,473 for 5 years. As of June 30, 2015, the principal balance outstanding on the lease was \$90,061.

On July 24, 2012, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$380,702 with Motorola Solutions, Incorporated, for the purchase of radio equipment. The City agreed to pay the lease in annual payments for \$75,688 for seven years. Since the lease is in essence a financing agreement, the cost of the equipment and the amount of the lease has been included in the City's financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, the principal debt outstanding on the lease was \$221,111.

On January 25, 2013, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$33,551 with Key Government Finance, Incorporated, to acquire equipment for network upgrades. The City agreed to pay the lease in annual payments for \$7,372, for five years. Since the leases are in essence a financing agreement, the cost of the equipment and the amount of the lease has been included in the City's financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, the principal debt outstanding on the lease was \$13,634.

On September 28, 2012, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$273,082 with US Bank, to acquire equipment for network upgrades. The City agreed to pay the lease in monthly payments of \$5,103, for five years. Since the leases are in essence a financing agreement, the cost of the equipment and the amount of the lease has been included in the City's financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, the principal debt outstanding on the lease was \$132,932.

NOTE 7 - LONG-TERM DEBT (CONTINUED)

On May 2, 2013, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$63,852 with US Bank, to acquire equipment for network upgrades. The City agreed to pay the lease in monthly payments of \$1,216, for five years. Since the leases are in essence a financing agreement, the cost of the equipment and the amount of the lease has been included in the City's financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, the principal debt outstanding on the lease was \$39,662.

On December 12, 2013, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$436,826 with Key Government Finance, Incorporated, to acquire equipment for network upgrades. The City agreed to pay the lease in quarterly payments of \$23,879, for five years. Since the leases are in essence a financing agreement, the cost of the equipment and the amount of the lease has been included in the City's financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, the principal debt outstanding on the lease was \$280,991.

On August 18, 2014, the City entered into a lease agreement in the amount of \$115,834 with Quest Technology Management, to acquire equipment for network upgrades. The City agreed to pay the lease in monthly payments of \$2,267, for five years. Since the leases are in essence a financing agreement, the cost of the equipment and the amount of the lease has been included in the City's financial statements. As of June 30, 2015, the principal debt outstanding on the lease was \$88,630.

NOTE 8 - FUND BALANCES

Detailed classifications of the City's Governmental Fund Balances, as of June 30, 2015, are below:

Fund Balance Classifications	Major Funds			Other Governmental Funds	Total
	General Fund	Concord Housing	General Projects		
Nonspendable:					
Items not in spendable form:					
Loans and Notes Receivable				\$ 1,852,254	\$ 1,852,254
Prepaid Expenditures	\$ 4,346	\$ 10,546			14,892
Advance	5,237,104				5,237,104
Inventories	58,763				58,763
Total Nonspendable Fund Balance	5,300,213	10,546		1,852,254	7,163,013
Restricted for:					
Debt Service				3,154,947	3,154,947
Capital Projects			\$ 18,469,282	3,590,504	22,059,786
Housing		28,867,605		914,635	29,782,240
Health Care District				199,782	199,782
Transportation				5,877,969	5,877,969
Development Services				8,795,540	8,795,540
Asset Forfeiture				394,198	394,198
Total Restricted Fund Balances		28,867,605	18,469,282	22,927,575	70,264,462
Assigned:					
Purchase Orders	1,252,513				1,252,513
Capital Projects			768,622	542,334	1,310,956
Other					
Total Assigned Fund Balances	1,252,513		768,622	542,334	2,563,469
Unassigned:					
General Fund	27,381,312				27,381,312
Other governmental fund deficit residuals				(1,463)	(1,463)
Total Unassigned Fund Balances	27,381,312			(1,463)	27,379,849
Total Fund Balances	\$ 33,934,038	\$ 28,878,151	\$ 19,237,904	\$ 25,320,700	\$ 107,370,793

NOTE 8 - FUND BALANCES (CONTINUED)**Encumbrances**

The City uses an encumbrance system as an extension of normal budgetary accounting for governmental funds. Under this system, purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of applicable appropriations. Encumbrances outstanding at year-end are recorded as restricted, committed or assigned fund balance, depending on the classification of the resources to be used to liquidate the encumbrance, since they do not constitute expenditures or liabilities. Outstanding encumbrances at year-end are automatically reappropriated for the following year. Unencumbered and unexpended appropriations lapse at year-end.

Encumbrances outstanding as of June 30, 2015, were as listed below:

	<u>Amount</u>
Governmental Funds:	
General Fund	\$ 1,252,513
Concord Housing Fund	46,952
General Projects Capital Projects Fund	<u>1,286,636</u>
Non-Major Governmental Funds:	
State Gas Tax Special Revenue Fund	240,935
Art in Public Places Special Revenue Fund	37,043
Asset Forfeiture Special Revenue Fund	109,351
Maintenance District Special Revenue Fund	48,283
Housing and Community Services Special Revenue Fund	65,515
Storm Water Management Special Revenue Fund	30,810
Health Care District Special Revenue Fund	125,000
Traffic Systems Management Special Revenue Fund	16,239
Special Developers Capital Projects Fund	224,122
Inter Governmental Capital Projects Fund	<u>2,234,701</u>
Total Non-Major Governmental Funds	<u>3,131,999</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 5,718,100</u></u>

NOTE 9 - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY**Expenditures in Excess of Appropriations**

Expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2015, exceeded the appropriations in the following funds.

	<u>Final Budget</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Variance</u>
Housing and Community Services Fund	\$ 861,617	\$ 956,724	\$ (95,107)

The excess expenditures were covered by residual balances within the funds.

NOTE 9 - STEWARDSHIP, COMPLIANCE, AND ACCOUNTABILITY (CONTINUED)**Deficit Fund Equity**

As of June 30, 2015, the following funds had deficit fund equity:

Governmental Activities

Non-Major Governmental Fund	
Assessments District Fund	\$ 1,463
Internal Service Fund	
Risk Management Fund	825,754

Fiduciary Activities

Successor Agency Private Purpose Trust Fund	33,079,091
---	------------

The Assessment Districts Debt Service Fund had deficit fund balance of 1,463 which is expected to be eliminated by future revenue.

The Risk Management Internal Service Fund had deficit net position of \$825,754 which is expected to be eliminated by future revenue.

The Successor Agency Private Purpose Trust Fund had deficit net position of \$33,079,091 which is expected to continue until the debt service obligations are satisfied from future property tax allocations.

NOTE 10 - CITY OF CONCORD RETIREMENT SYSTEM PLAN**Plan Description and Employees Covered**

The City of Concord Retirement System Plan (the plan) is a closed plan and is a single employer defined benefit pension plan covering all full-time employees of the City retired prior to June 28, 1999 or who left the employment of the City eligible for a pension. Participants are divided into two primary groups for coverage: general employees and police employees. Membership in the Retirement System comprised the following at June 30, 2015:

Retirees and beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	240
Vested terminated employees	39
Total participants	<u>279</u>

On July 1, 1994, the City converted to the Public Employees Retirement System (PERS) as described in Note 12.

Eligibility, administration, actuarial interest rates and certain other tasks are the responsibility of the Retirement Board. The Retirement Board consists of ten members, selected as follows: the Mayor, City Manager, City Attorney, Director of Human Resources, Director of Finance and one representative from each of the five employee organizations.

During the year ended June 30, 1999, \$56,300,000 was transferred from the Retirement System to PERS to purchase prior years' service credit for its active vested employees.

NOTE 10 - CITY OF CONCORD RETIREMENT SYSTEM PLAN (CONTINUED)**Benefits Provided**

The plan provides retirement and death benefits for general and police employees as well as disability benefits for police employees. General employees are eligible for retirement benefits at age 55, provided the employee has completed 20 years of service or has accumulated contributions in excess of \$500 and was employed before June 30, 1990 or has completed 5 years of service and was terminated after July 1, 1990. Sworn police employees are eligible for retirement at age 50, provided the employee has completed 20 years of service or has accumulated contributions exceeding \$500. Retirement benefits are determined based on the employee's length of service, highest one-year compensation upon retirement, and age at retirement.

Contributions

Prior to June 21, 1993, contributions were made to the Retirement System by both the City and the employee participants. City contributions were actuarially determined annually to provide the Retirement System with assets sufficient to pay basic benefits not provided for by employees' contributions. All general employees were required to contribute 6%, and all police employees were required to contribute 8% of their base salary (decreased by a Social Security allowance) to the Retirement System. The City is funding the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability with an additional 1% contribution of eligible employee salaries.

The City contributed 4% to 8% of this percentage on behalf of general employees, depending upon job classification, and all of the contribution for sworn police employees.

The City maintains a program of death and disability benefits financed wholly by employer premium payments under a group term life insurance policy and group long-term disability insurance policy.

The actuarial accrued liability was determined as part of an actuarial valuation at June 30, 2014. Significant actuarial assumptions used in determining the actuarial accrued liability include: (a) a rate of return on the investment of present and future assets of 6.5% per year compounded annually, (b) inflation rate of 3.0% (c) annual post-retirement increases at 5.5% per year. Required contributions are determined using the entry age normal actuarial cost method and are made on a level dollar basis. The plan is amortized using the CalPERS Mortality Table on a 21 year closed basis.

Audited annual financial statements and ten-year trend information are available from City of Concord, 1950 Parkside Drive, Concord, California 94519.

NOTE 10 - CITY OF CONCORD RETIREMENT SYSTEM PLAN (CONTINUED)**Net Pension Liability**

The City's net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2015, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial as of June 30, 2014 and rolled forward to June 30, 2015.

Actuarial Assumptions - The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2015 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

	<u>CCRS</u>
Valuation Date	June 30, 2014
Measurement Date	June 30, 2015
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry-Age Normal Cost Method
Actuarial Assumptions:	
Discount Rate	6.50%
Investment Rate of Return	6.50% (1)
Municipal Bond Rate	3.80%
General Inflation	3.00%
COLA	2% per year
Salary Scale	not applicable
Investment Rate of Return	6.50% (1)
Mortality	CalPERS 1997-2011 experience study
Retirement Age	100% at 59 for general and 54 for Police

Mortality rates were based on the CalPERS 1997-2011 experience study fully generational mortality improvement projection based on Scale AA.

The long-term expected rate of return on plan investments was determined using a building block method which best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected return, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

NOTE 10 - CITY OF CONCORD RETIREMENT SYSTEM PLAN (CONTINUED)

The table below reflects geometric average real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Actual Allocation (a)	Geometric Average Real Rate of Return
Global Equities	50%	43.00%	5.35%
Global Fixed Income	45%	51.00%	1.55%
Cash	5%	6.00%	0.45%
Total	100%	100%	

(a) As of the June 30, 2014 PARS statement.

Discount rate – The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.5% for the plan. The project of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that the City’s contributions will be made at rates equal to the difference between actuarially determined contribution rates and the employee rate. Based on those assumptions, each pension plan’s fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Changes in the Net Pension Liability

The changes in the net pension liability for the plan are as follows:

	CCRS Plan Increase (Decrease)		
	Total Pension Liability	Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Net Pension Liability/(Asset)
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 60,762,000	\$ 37,325,569	\$ 23,436,431
Changes in the year:			
Interest on the total pension liability	\$ 3,769,962		\$ 3,769,962
Differences between actual and expected experience	1,483,884		1,483,884
Changes in assumptions	3,337,481		3,337,481
Contribution - employer		\$ 2,035,017	(2,035,017)
Net investment income		775,187	(775,187)
Administrative expenses		(208,204)	208,204
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(5,525,159)	(5,525,159)	
Net changes	3,066,168	(2,923,159)	5,989,327
Balance at June 30, 2015	\$ 63,828,168	\$ 34,402,410	\$ 29,425,758

Plan net position as a percentage of the total pension liability

53.9%

NOTE 10 - CITY OF CONCORD RETIREMENT SYSTEM PLAN (CONTINUED)

Sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate – The following presents the net pension liability of the City, calculated using the discount rate of 6.5%, as well as what the City’s net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1- percentage-point lower or 1- percentage-point higher than the current rate.

	<u>CCRS</u>	
1% Decrease		5.50%
Net Pension Liability	\$	35,119,198
Current Discount Rate		6.50%
Net Pension Liability	\$	29,425,758
1% Increase		7.50%
Net Pension Liability	\$	24,531,291

Pension expenses and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions

For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, the City recognized pension expense of \$6,799,722. At June 30, 2015, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	<u>Deferred Outflows of Resources</u>	<u>Deferred Inflows of Resources</u>
Net differences between projected and actual earnings on plan investments	\$ 1,224,622	\$ -
Total	<u>\$ 1,224,622</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

Amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in future pension expense as follows:

<u>Year ended June 30,</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2016	\$ 306,156
2017	306,156
2018	306,155
2019	306,155
Total	<u>\$ 1,224,622</u>

NOTE 11 - CITY OF CONCORD EARLY RETIREMENT PLANS**Plan Description and Provisions**

On September 1, 2009 the City adopted a sole employer defined benefit plan to provide supplemental retirement benefit payments to eligible employees in addition to the benefit payments the employees will receive from the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS).

The City joined Public Agency Retirement Services (PARS) and participates in two plans, the Supplementary Retirement Plan and the Excess Benefit Plan. The Excess Benefit Plan consists of the highly compensated members and the Supplementary Plan includes all other eligible employees. To be eligible to participate in the plan the employee must have been classified as a Miscellaneous or Safety employee of the City as of June 1, 2009, be at least 50 years of age as of September 1, 2009, have completed at least 5 years of employment with the City as of September 1, 2009, have terminated employment with the City on or before August 31, 2009, have applied for benefits under this plan and must have concurrently retired under CalPERS on or before September 1, 2009 and remains in retired status under CalPERS. A member is considered fully vested upon meeting the eligibility requirements listed above. Benefits payments are based on seven percent of an employee's annual base pay as of June 1, 2009.

As of June 30, 2015, there were 65 members participating in these plans.

Audited annual financial statements and ten-year trend information are available from City of Concord, 1950 Parkside Drive, Concord, California 94519.

Contribution Requirements

The City established a plan within the PARS Trust. The cost of funding the Plan including management fees is roughly equivalent to one year of an employee's salary for each participating employee. The City funded the Plan over a period of 5 years, choosing to buy annuities to fund the Plan, and self fund. The City used general fund salary savings to fund the Plan. The plan was fully funded in September 2013.

NOTE 12 - PENSION PLAN**CalPERS Safety and Miscellaneous Employees Pension Plans*****Plan Description***

On June 21, 1993, the City joined the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), an agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system which acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating member employers.

The City contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit public employee retirement system that acts as a common investment and administrative agent for various local and state governmental agencies within the State of California. The City's Safety and Miscellaneous Plans are part of the Public Agency portion of CalPERS. The benefits for the public agencies are established by contract with CalPERS in accordance with the provisions of the Public Employees

NOTE 12 - PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

Retirement Law. CalPERS issues a publicly available financial report that include a full description of the pension plans regarding benefit provisions, assumptions and membership information that can be found on the CalPERS website at:

<http://calpers.ca.gov/index.jsp?bc=/about/forms-pubs/calpers-reports/actuarial-reports/home.xml>.

The City joined PERS on a prospective basis and participates in two plans, the Safety (Police) Employees Plan and the Miscellaneous Employees Plan. All qualified permanent and probationary employees are eligible to participate. CalPERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. The City's employees participate in the separate Safety (police) and Miscellaneous (all other) Employee Plans. Benefit provisions under both Plans are established by State statute and City resolution. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Funding contributions for both Plans are determined annually on an actuarial basis as of June 30 by CalPERS; the City must contribute these amounts.

Benefits Provided

The benefits in effect as of June 30, 2015 are summarized as follows:

Hire Date	Miscellaneous			Safety	
	Classic Prior to November 29, 2010	Classic On or after November 29, 2010 and Prior to January 1, 2013	PEPRA On or after January 1, 2013	Classic Prior to January 1, 2013	PEPRA On or after January 1, 2013
Benefit Formula	2.5% @ 55	2% @ 55	2% @ 62	3% @ 50	2.7% @ 57
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years of service	5 years of service	5 years of service	5 years of service	5 years of service
Benefit payments	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life	monthly for life
Retirement age	50-55	50-55	52-62	50	50-57
Monthly benefits, as a % of annual salary	2.0% to 2.5%	1.4% to 2.0%	1.0% to 2.0%	3.0%	2.0% to 2.7%

The City's employees pay 100% of their employee pension contribution of 6.25%, 7% or 8% for Miscellaneous Plan members and 9% or 12% for Safety Plan members.

Employees Covered

At June 30, 2015, the following employees were covered by the benefit terms for each plan:

	Miscellaneous	Safety
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	344	113
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	347	62
Active employees	235	143
Total	926	318

NOTE 12 - PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)***Contributions***

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL) requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on the July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Funding contributions for both plans are determined through CalPERS' annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The City is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. For the measurement period ended June 30, 2014 (the measurement date), the average active employee contribution rate was 7.81% of annual pay for the Miscellaneous Plan and 9.02% for the Safety Plan. For the measurement period ended June 30, 2014, the employer's contribution rate was 26.10% of annual payroll for the Miscellaneous Plan and 33.35% for the Safety Plan. Employer contribution rates may change if plan contracts are amended. It is the responsibility of the employer to make necessary accounting adjustments to reflect the impact due to any employer paid member contributions or situations where members are paying a portion of the employer contribution. Contributions made during the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 for the Miscellaneous and Safety plan were \$4,837,588 and \$5,993,839 respectively.

Net Pension Liability

The City's net pension liability for each plan is measured as the total pension liability, less the pension plan's fiduciary net position. The net pension liability of each of the plans is measured as of June 30, 2014, using an annual actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2013 rolled forward to June 30, 2014.

Actuarial assumptions – The total pension liability in each plan's June 30, 2013 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions.

	Miscellaneous	Safety
Valuation Date	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2013
Measurement Date	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2014
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry-Age Normal Cost Method	
Actuarial Assumptions:		
Discount Rate	7.50%	7.50%
Inflation	2.75%	2.75%
Payroll Growth	3.00%	3.00%
Projected Salary Increase	Varies by Entry Age and Service	
Investment Rate of Return	7.50% (1)	7.50% (1)
Mortality	Derived using CalPERS membership data for all funds.	

(1) Net of pension plan investment and administrative expenses, including inflation

Discount rate – The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.5% for each plan. The project of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that employee contributions will be made at the current contribution rate and that the City's contributions will be made at rates equal to the difference between actuarially determined contribution rates and the employee rate. Based on those assumptions, each pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

NOTE 12 - PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Such cash flows were developed assuming that both members and employers will make their required contributions on time and as scheduled in all future years. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound (geometric) returns were calculated over the short-term (first 10 years) and the long-term (11-60 years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equivalent to the single equivalent rate calculated above and rounded down to the nearest one quarter of one percent.

The table below reflects long-term expected real rate of return by asset class. The rate of return was calculated using the capital market assumptions applied to determine the discount rate and asset allocation. These geometric rates of return are net of administrative expenses.

Asset Class	Target Allocation	Real Rate of Return Years 1-10 (a)	Real Rate of Return Years 11+(b)
Global Equity	47%	5.25%	5.71%
Global Fixed Income	19%	0.99%	2.43%
Inflation Sensitivity	6%	0.45%	3.36%
Private Equity	12%	6.83%	6.95%
Real Estate	11%	4.50%	5.30%
Infrastructure and Forestland	3%	4.50%	5.09%
Liquidity	2%	-0.55%	-1.05%
Total	100%		

(a) An expected inflation of 2.5% used for this period.

(b) An expected inflation of 3.0% used for this period.

All other actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2013 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period from 1997 to 2011, including updates to salary increase, mortality and retirement rates. The Experience Study report can be obtained at CalPERS' website under Forms and Publications.

NOTE 12 - PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)***Changes in the Net Pension Liability***

The changes in the net pension liability for each plan measured as of June 30, 2014 is as follows:

	Miscellaneous Plan Increase (Decrease)		
	Total Pension Liability	Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Net Pension Liability/(Asset)
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 177,774,980	\$ 115,328,525	\$ 62,446,455
Changes in the year:			
Service Cost	\$ 2,890,989		\$ 2,890,989
Interest on the total pension liability	13,096,282		13,096,282
Contribution - employee		\$ 4,399,819	(4,399,819)
Contribution - employee		1,342,831	(1,342,831)
Net investment income		8,479,232	(8,479,232)
Differences between projected and actual earnings on plan investments		11,281,309	(11,281,309)
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(9,206,786)	(9,206,786)	
Net changes	<u>6,780,485</u>	<u>16,296,405</u>	<u>(9,515,920)</u>
Balance at June 30, 2015	<u>\$ 184,555,465</u>	<u>\$ 131,624,930</u>	<u>\$ 52,930,535</u>
		Safety Plan Increase (Decrease)	
	Total Pension Liability	Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Net Pension Liability/(Asset)
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 195,446,083	\$ 124,432,457	\$ 71,013,626
Changes in the year:			
Service Cost	\$ 4,891,960		\$ 4,891,960
Interest on the total pension liability	14,566,113		14,566,113
Contribution - employee		\$ 5,732,219	(5,732,219)
Contribution - employee		1,679,332	(1,679,332)
Net investment income		9,322,724	(9,322,724)
Differences between projected and actual earnings on plan investments		12,435,556	(12,435,556)
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(7,354,430)	(7,354,430)	
Net changes	<u>12,103,643</u>	<u>21,815,401</u>	<u>(9,711,758)</u>
Balance at June 30, 2015	<u>\$ 207,549,726</u>	<u>\$ 146,247,858</u>	<u>\$ 61,301,868</u>
Totals for Both Plans	<u>\$ 392,105,191</u>	<u>\$ 277,872,788</u>	<u>\$ 114,232,403</u>

NOTE 12 - PENSION PLAN (CONTINUED)

Sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate – The following presents the net pension liability of the City for each plan, calculated using the discount rate for each plan, as well as what the City's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1- percentage point lower or 1- percentage point higher than the current rate.

	<u>Miscellaneous</u>	<u>Safety</u>	<u>Total</u>
1% Decrease	6.50%	6.50%	6.50%
Net Pension Liability	\$ 75,923,004	\$ 90,638,351	\$ 166,561,355
Current Discount Rate	7.50%	7.50%	7.50%
Net Pension Liability	\$ 52,930,535	\$ 61,301,868	\$ 114,232,403
1% Increase	8.50%	8.50%	8.50%
Net Pension Liability	\$ 33,742,298	\$ 37,165,643	\$ 70,907,941

Pension plan fiduciary net position – Detailed information about each pension plans' fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued CalPERS financial reports.

Pension expenses and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions

For the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, the City recognized pension expense of \$19,364,598. At June 30, 2015, the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	<u>Deferred Outflows of Resources</u>	<u>Deferred Inflows of Resources</u>
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	\$ 10,831,427	
Net differences between projected and actual earnings on plan investments		\$ (18,973,492)
Total	<u>\$ 10,831,427</u>	<u>\$ (18,973,492)</u>

\$10,831,427 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from the City's contributions subsequent t the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended 2016. Other Amounts reported as deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

<u>Year ended June 30,</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2016	\$ (4,743,373)
2017	(4,743,373)
2018	(4,743,373)
2019	(4,743,373)
Total	<u>\$ (18,973,492)</u>

NOTE 13 - OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS

Substantially all full-time City employees and their eligible dependents are eligible for post-retirement health care benefits under single employer CalPERS sponsored health plans currently funded during the employees active service. During fiscal year 2008, the City implemented the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. This Statement establishes uniform financial reporting standards for employers providing postemployment benefits other than pensions (OPEB). Required disclosures are presented below.

By Council resolution and through agreements with its labor units, the City provides certain health care benefits for retired employees (spouse and dependents are not included) under third-party insurance plans. A summary of eligibility and retiree contribution requirements are shown below:

The City pays health insurance premiums up to \$638, \$1,275, \$1,658 for a retiree, couple, and family, respectively.

As of June 30, 2015, approximately 392 participants were receiving benefits.

Funding Policy and Actuarial Assumptions

The annual required contribution (ARC) was determined as part of a June 30, 2015 actuarial valuation using the entry age normal actuarial cost method. This is a projected benefit cost method, which takes into account those benefits that are expected to be earned in the future as well as those already accrued. The actuarial assumptions included (a) 7.5% investment rate of return including 3% inflation, (b) 3.25% projected annual salary increase, and (c) 4.5% health care costs inflation increases. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that smooth the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets. Actuarial calculations reflect a long-term perspective and actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future. Actuarially determined amounts are subject to revision at least biannually as results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. Calculations are based on the OPEB benefits provided under the terms of the substantive plan in effect at the time of each valuation and on the pattern of sharing of costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The City's OPEB unfunded actuarial accrued liability is being amortized as a level percentage of projected payroll using a 30 year closed amortization period.

In accordance with the City's budget, the annual required contribution (ARC) is to be funded throughout the year as a percentage of payroll. Concurrent with implementing Statement No. 45, the City Council passed a resolution to participate the California Employers Retirees Benefit Trust (CERBT), an irrevocable trust established to fund OPEB. CERBT is administrated by CalPERS, and is managed by an appointed board not under the control of City Council. This Trust is not considered a component unit by the City and has been excluded from these financial statements. Separately issued financial statements for CERBT may be obtained from CALPERS at P.O. Box 942709, Sacramento, California 94229-2709.

Generally accepted accounting principles permits contributions to be treated as OPEB assets and deducted from the Actuarial Accrued Liability when such contributions are placed in an irrevocable trust or equivalent arrangement. During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the City contributed \$3,670,019 to the Plan which represented 9.3% of \$37.7 million of covered payroll. As a result, the City has recorded the Net OPEB obligation, representing the difference between the ARC, the amortization of the Net OPEB obligation and actual contributions, as presented below:

NOTE 13 - OTHER POST-EMPLOYMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS (CONTINUED)

Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$ 4,815,000
Interest on Net OPEB asset	(82,000)
Adjustment to annual required contribution	66,000
Annual OPEB Cost	<u>4,799,000</u>
Contributions made:	
City portions of current year premiums paid	<u>3,670,019</u>
Change in Net OPEB Asset	(1,128,981)
Net OPEB Asset at June 30, 2014	<u>1,093,430</u>
Net OPEB Obligation at June 30, 2015	<u><u>\$ (35,551)</u></u>

The Plan's annual OPEB cost and actual contributions for fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 is set forth below:

Fiscal Year	Annual OPEB Cost	Actual Contribution	Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed	Net OPEB Asset (Liability)
June 30, 2013	\$ 5,981,038	\$ 3,254,171	54%	\$ 5,028,652
June 30, 2014	4,930,000	3,494,778	71%	1,093,430
June 30, 2015	4,799,000	3,670,019	76%	(35,551)

The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) representing the present value of future benefits, included in the actuarial study dated June 30, 2015, amounted to \$73,467,000 and was partially funded as \$22,092,000 in assets had been transferred into CERBT as of that date and reduced the unfunded actuarial accrued liability to \$51,375,000.

Funding Progress and Funded Status

The Schedule of Funding Progress presented as required supplementary information, presents multi year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits. Data as of June 30, 2015 from the actuarial study is presented below:

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (A)	Entry Age Actuarial Accrued Liability (B)	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (B-A)	Funded Ratio (A/B)	Covered Payroll (C)	Unfunded Actuarial Liability as Percentages of Covered Payroll [(B-A)/C]
June 30, 2015	\$ 22,092,000	\$ 73,467,000	\$ 51,375,000	30.1%	\$ 32,192,000	159.6%

Additional information can be found in the Required Supplementary Information section of the financial statements.

NOTE 14 - DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN

City employees may defer a portion of their compensation under City sponsored Deferred Compensation Plans created in accordance with Internal Revenue Code Section 457 and 401K. Under these plans, participants are not taxed on the deferred portion of their compensation until distributed to them; distribution may be made only at termination, retirement, death or in an emergency as defined by the Plans.

The laws governing deferred compensation plan assets require plan assets to be held by a Trust for the exclusive benefit of Plan participants and their beneficiaries. Since the assets held under these plans are not the City's property and are not subject to City control, they have been excluded from these financial statements.

NOTE 15 - RISK MANAGEMENT AND INSURANCE**Insurance Risk Pool**

In July 2003, the City joined the California State Association of Counties - Excess Insurance Authority (CSAC-EIA), a joint powers authority. CSAC-EIA provides coverage against the following types of loss risks, including commercial insurance coverage, under the terms of a joint-powers agreement with the City and several other cities and governmental agencies as follows:

<u>Type of Coverage (Deductible)</u>	<u>Coverage Limits</u>
General Liability (\$500,000)	\$25,000,000
Workers' Compensation (\$500,000)	Statutory
All Risk Property (\$10,000 per occurrence)	\$600,000,000
Property Flood Risk (\$25,000 per occurrence)	\$415,000,000
Earthquake (5% with a \$100,000 minimum)	\$50,000,000

CSAC-EIA was established for the purpose of creating a risk management pool for all California public entities. CSAC-EIA is governed by a Board of Directors consisting of representatives of its member public entities.

The City's deposits with CSAC-EIA are in accordance with formulas established by CSAC-EIA. Actual surpluses or losses are shared according to a formula developed from overall loss costs and spread to member entities on a percentage basis after a retrospective rating.

Audited financial statements for CSAC-EIA are available from CSAC-EIA at 75 Iron Point Circle, Folsom, CA 95630.

The City is self-insured for auto physical damage claims.

For the years ended June 30, 2015, 2014 and 2013, the amount of settlements did not exceed insurance coverage.

NOTE 15 - RISK MANAGEMENT AND INSURANCE (CONTINUED)**Uninsured Claims Payable**

The City provides for the uninsured portion of claims and judgments in its Risk Management (general liability and auto physical damage) and Workers' Compensation Internal Service Funds. Claims and judgments, including a provision for claims incurred but not reported, are recorded when a loss is deemed probable of assertion and the amount of the loss is reasonably determinable.

The City's liability for uninsured claims is limited to worker's compensation, general liability and auto physical damage claims, as discussed above, which are reported at their present value using expected future investment yield assumptions ranging from 3% percent. In addition, the general liability claims are based on an eighty percent confidence level. The undiscounted worker's compensation claims totaled \$1,579,131 and undiscounted general liability claims totaled \$1,430,621 at June 30, 2015.

The change in the claims liabilities, including claims incurred but not reported are based on independent actuarial studies and were computed as follows for the years ended June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2015:

	Workers Compensation Internal Service Fund	Risk Management/ Liability Internal Service Fund	Total 2015	Total 2014
Beginning balance	\$ 8,353,000	\$ 2,834,680	\$ 11,187,680	\$ 12,640,152
Liability for current fiscal year claims	2,203,000	882,920	3,085,920	(1,064,944)
Change in liability for prior fiscal year claims and claims incurred but not reported (IBNR)	(988,376)		(988,376)	(608,447)
Claims paid	(1,305,029)	(1,101,874)	(2,406,903)	220,919
Ending balance	<u>\$ 8,262,595</u>	<u>\$ 2,615,726</u>	<u>\$ 10,878,321</u>	<u>\$ 11,187,680</u>
Current portion	<u>\$ 1,579,131</u>	<u>\$ 1,430,621</u>	<u>\$ 3,009,752</u>	<u>\$ 3,323,326</u>

Health Care

The City provides its employees with a choice of five different medical insurance plans through CalPERS. The City pays the premium up to \$1,626 per month per employee. The City also provides its employees with Dental Insurance paying premiums up to \$166 per month per employee. The City also provides long-term disability and life insurance to its employees.

NOTE 16 - SPECIAL ITEM**Plaza Tower Loan Restructuring and Salvio Grant Land Joint Venture**

The City of Concord is party to a series of agreements with Concord Plaza Tower, Inc. which relate to the Plaza Tower senior affordable housing development, including two loans made by the former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Concord ("Agency Loans") to assist in the development of Plaza Tower. Additionally, the City is a partner in the Salvio Land Grant Partnership, a general partnership formed in 1985 that owns the underlying property upon which Plaza Towers is located. The partnership leases the land and that lease terminates in 2040.

During fiscal year 2015, the City and Concord Plaza Tower Inc., agreed to restructure the two Agency Loans and consolidate those loans with the outstanding land lease payments owed to the City under the Salvio Grant Land Partnership. The City of Concord reported a special item in the Concord Housing Fund and in governmental activities equal to \$2,384,126 for the termination of its investment in the Salvio Grant Land Joint Venture in fiscal year 2015 and for the restructuring of a related loan.

NOTE 17 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The City is subject to litigation arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of the City Attorney there is no additional pending litigation, which is likely to have material adverse effect on the financial position of the City.

The City participates in several Federal and State grant programs. These programs have been audited through the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. No cost disallowances were proposed as a result of these audits; however, these programs are still subject to further examination by the grantors and the amount, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the granting agencies cannot be determined at this time. The City expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

NOTE 18 – RESTATEMENT OF NET POSITION AND FUND BALANCE

Effective July 1, 2014, the City implemented GASB Statement No. 68, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions – an amendment of GASB Statement No. 27*. The objective of this Statement is to improve accounting and financial reporting by state and local governments for pensions. The adjustment was made to reflect the prior period costs related to the implementation of the net pension liability.

In fiscal year 2015 the City reclassified the Tourism Improvement District Fund from another governmental special revenue fund, to a fiduciary trust fund in the financial statements in accordance with GASB 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus*. Tourism Improvement District Fund was previously reported as a component unit of the City. This resulted in a restatement of previously reported net position and fund balance.

The restatement of beginning net position of the governmental activities is summarized as follows:

	Government- Wide Financial Statements	Fund Financial Statements
	Governmental Activities	Other Governmental Funds
Net Position/ Fund Balance, June 30, 2014, as previously reported	\$ 722,070,427	\$ 22,095,395
Restatement:		
Recognition of the net pension liability CalPERS.	(123,365,522)	
Recognition of the net pension liability CCRS.	(18,096,198)	
Reclassification of Tourism Improvement District Fund from special revenue fund to a fiduciary fund.	(17,798)	(17,798)
Total Restatements:	<u>(141,479,518)</u>	<u>(17,798)</u>
Net Position/ Fund Balance, June 30, 2014, as restated	<u>\$ 580,590,909</u>	<u>\$ 22,077,597</u>

NOTE 19 - REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIVITIES**Redevelopment Dissolution**

In an effort to balance its budget, the State of California adopted ABx1 26 on June 28, 2011, amended by AB1484 on June 27, 2012, which suspended all new redevelopment activities except for limited specified activities as of that date and dissolved redevelopment agencies on January 31, 2012.

The suspension provisions prohibited all redevelopment agencies from a wide range of activities, including incurring new indebtedness or obligations, entering into or modifying agreements or contracts, acquiring or disposing of real property, taking actions to adopt or amend redevelopment plans and other similar actions, except actions required by law or to carry out existing enforceable obligations, as defined in ABx1 26.

ABx1 26 and AB1484 created the regulatory authority, the Successor Agency Oversight Board, under the direction of the State Controller and Department of Finance (DOF), to review former Agency's asset transfer, obligation payments and wind down activities. ABx1 26 specifically directs the State Controller to review the activities of all redevelopment agencies to determine whether an asset transfer between an agency and any public agency occurred on or after January 1, 2011. If an asset transfer did occur and the public agency that received the asset is not contractually committed to a third party for the expenditure or encumbrance of the asset, the legislation purports to require the State Controller to order the asset returned to the Redevelopment Agency or, on or after February 1, 2012, to the Successor Agency.

Effective January 31, 2012, the Redevelopment Agency was dissolved. Certain assets of the Redevelopment Agency Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund were distributed to a Housing Successor; and all remaining Redevelopment Agency assets and liabilities were distributed to a Successor Agency.

Under the provisions of AB 1484, the City elected to become the Housing Successor and retain the housing assets. On February 1, 2012, certain housing assets were transferred to the City's Concord Housing Fund, a special revenue fund.

The activities of the Housing Successor are reported in the Concord Housing Special Revenue Fund as the City has control of those assets, which may be used in accordance with the low and moderate income housing provisions of California Redevelopment Law.

The City also elected to become the Successor Agency and on February 1, 2012 the Redevelopment Agency's remaining assets were distributed to and liabilities were assumed by the Successor Agency. ABx1 26 requires the establishment of an Oversight Board to oversee the activities of the Successor Agency and one was established in April 2012. The activities of the Successor Agency are subject to review and approval of the Oversight Board, which is comprised of seven members, including one City employee appointed by the Mayor.

The activities of the Successor Agency are reported in the Successor Agency Private-Purpose Trust Fund as the activities are under the control of the Oversight Board. The City provides administrative services to the Successor Agency to wind down the affairs of the former Redevelopment Agency.

NOTE 19 - REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED)

The following notes provide more information regarding assets and liabilities of the Successor Agency.

Loans Receivable

The Successor Agency assumed the non-housing loans receivable of the former Redevelopment Agency as of February 1, 2012. The former Redevelopment Agency engaged in programs designed to encourage construction of, or improvement to, low-to-moderate income housing. Under these programs, grants or loans were provided under favorable terms to homeowners or developers who agreed to expend these funds in accordance with the former Agency's terms. The balances of the notes receivable arising from the non-housing programs at June 30, 2015 are set forth below:

Concord Residential Club

Low and no interest loans were made by the former Redevelopment Agency to provide businesses assistance for rehabilitating buildings in the downtown area and to businesses or individuals for the rehabilitation of housing within the City of Concord owned and/or occupied by persons of low and moderate income. As of June 30, 2015 the loan balance was \$229,996

In July 2009, the Agency entered into a \$250,000 interest free loan agreement with California Automotive Retailing Group to rehabilitate and improve an existing automotive dealership site at 1330 Concord Avenue. Monthly payments of \$2,083 for 120 months started on October 1, 2009. The Successor Agency expects the loan to be repaid on September 1, 2019. As of June 30, 2015 the loan balance was \$110,424.

Fry's Electronics Development Agreement

The former Redevelopment Agency entered into a \$3,900,000 loan agreement with Fry's Electronics to provide assistance with rehabilitation of the building and surrounding site improvements. The substance of the agreement is that Fry's will be paid a portion of future sales tax revenues produced by the development. These payments are conditioned on the generation of annual sales tax revenues by the development of at least \$500,000 per year, adjusted annually for inflation, and the Agency is not required to use any other resources to pay these amounts. Beginning with the year that the sales tax collections first exceed the threshold, the Agency has agreed to pay Fry's compound interest of 7% on the loan principal balance not yet disbursed to Fry's, however the calculation of this annual interest due is limited to the lesser of the actual calculation or the principal amount of the loan disbursed to Fry's in that year. The loan will be forgiven after ten calendar years as long as the building remains operated by Fry's Electronics. In addition, the Agency has entered into an agreement with the City under which the City has agreed to annually reimburse the Agency for any amounts that it has paid to Fry's, but that reimbursement is subordinated to the City's other obligations. During fiscal year 2014, sales tax collections did not meet the threshold; therefore the former Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency did not disburse any funds to Fry's in accordance with the terms of the agreement. At June 30, 2015, the remaining portion of sales tax revenues subject to reimbursement was \$3.9 million plus interest at 7%. The agreement terminates in 2019, regardless of whether the entire loan amount has been disbursed, and is not carried on the financial statements.

NOTE 19 - REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED)**Land Held for Redevelopment**

As of June 30, 2015, the Successor Agency held the following properties for resale or redevelopment, totaling \$11,416,332:

- a) During fiscal year 2009 the Agency purchased six parcels of land located in the downtown area to assist in implementing the Agency's Strategic Plan.
- b) A parcel of land held by the Agency was purchased in fiscal year 2007 which will be held for resale for future development projects.
- c) A parcel of land held by the Agency was purchased in fiscal year 2004 which is to be sold in the future for redevelopment projects.
- d) A parcel of land was purchased in fiscal year 2002 which is to be sold in the future for the Town Center Project.
- e) One property purchased in fiscal year 2001 which is to be sold for the purpose of constructing a new hotel in downtown Concord.
- f) During the year ended June 30, 1999, the Agency purchased a parcel which is to be sold in the future for development projects.
- g) Five properties purchased from 1982-1987 which are being held for resale for future development projects.

Pooled Investments

As of June 30, 2015, the Successor Agency held assets within the City investment pool totaling \$1,359,103.

Cash with Fiscal Agents

As of June 30, 2015, the Successor Agency held assets with fiscal agents totaling \$832,257.

Capital Assets

The Successor Agency assumed the capital assets of the former Redevelopment Agency as of February 2012.

All capital assets are valued at historical cost or estimated historical cost if actual historical cost is not available. Contributed capital assets are valued at their estimated fair market value on the date contributed. The Successor Agency's policy is to capitalize all assets with costs exceeding certain minimum thresholds and with useful lives exceeding two years.

The Successor Agency has recorded all its public domain (infrastructure) capital assets, which include landscape, storm, street, and traffic systems.

The purpose of depreciation is to spread the cost of capital assets equitably among all users over the life of these assets. The amount charged to depreciation expense each year represents that year's pro rata share of the cost of capital assets.

NOTE 19 - REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED)

Depreciation of capital assets in service is provided using the straight line method which means the cost of the asset is divided by its expected useful life in years and the result is charged to expense each year until the asset is fully depreciated. The Successor Agency has assigned 25-33 years for the useful lives of buildings and structures.

Major outlays for capital assets and improvements are capitalized as projects are constructed.

Capital Asset Additions, Retirements and Balances

The Successor Agency assumed the long-term debt of the former Redevelopment Agency as of February 2012.

	Balance at June 30, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance at June 30, 2015
Successor Agency				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 448,293			\$ 448,293
Total capital assets not being depreciated	448,293			448,293
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings and structures	2,259,347			2,259,347
Less: depreciation	(1,346,723)	\$ (67,780)		(1,414,503)
Streets	300,519			300,519
Less: depreciation	(18,889)	(10,017)		(28,906)
Sidewalks	227,377			227,377
Less: depreciation	(9,095)	(4,548)		(13,643)
Lights	37,527			37,527
Less: depreciation	(1,876)	(1,251)		(3,127)
Equipment	36,862			36,862
Less: depreciation		(7,372)		(7,372)
Total capital assets being depreciated	1,485,049	(90,968)		1,394,081
Successor Agency Capital assets, net	\$ 1,933,342	\$ (90,968)		\$ 1,842,374

Long-Term Debt

The Successor Agency's debt issues and transactions are summarized below and discussed in detail thereafter.

Tax Allocation Bonds (TABs) Outstanding

On August 27, 2014 the California Department of Finance approved for the Successor Agency to issue \$37,550,000 of Series 2014 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds to defease and retire the 2004 Tax Allocation Bonds and retire the Concord Joint Powers Financing Authority Lease Revenue Bonds secured by a reimbursement agreement between the Successor Agency and the City of Concord for pledged tax increment revenues from the Central Concord Redevelopment Project. On October 1, 2014, the Series 2014 bonds were issued and as a result, the refunded bonds and debt are considered to be defeased and the liability has been removed from the fiduciary financial statements. The reacquisition price met the net carrying amount of the old debt thus there was no economic gain or loss on the transaction. This current refunding was undertaken to reduce total debt service payments over the next ten years and take advantage of favorable interest rates.

The 2014 TABs are payable annually on March 1. The 2014 TABs are special obligations of the Agency and are secured by the Agency's tax increment revenues. With the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency discussed above, Tax Increment is no longer distributed, and instead the Successor Agency receives payments from the

NOTE 19 - REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED)

County's Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) that are to be used to fund debt service on the Bonds, with no distinction between housing and non-housing revenues. In addition, under the provisions of the laws dissolving the Redevelopment Agency, the Successor Agency only receives the funds necessary to fulfill its approved obligations. The TABs are unsecured in the amount of \$22,120,000 and secured in the amount of \$15,430,000 by a surety bond issued by AMBAC Assurance as of June 30, 2015.

The pledge of future tax increment revenues ends upon repayment of the \$53,837,011 (principal and interest) in remaining debt service on the Agency's Tax Allocation Bonds which is scheduled to occur in 2025. For fiscal year 2015 RPTTF amounted to \$14,330,318 which represented coverage of 3.49 over the \$4,100,000 in debt service. With the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency discussed above, Tax Increment is no longer distributed, and instead the Successor Agency receives payments from the County's Redevelopment Property Tax

Trust Fund (RPTTF) that are to be used to fund repayment under the reimbursement agreement. In addition, under the provisions of the laws dissolving the Redevelopment Agency, the Successor Agency only receives the funds necessary to fulfill its approved obligations. The outstanding balance on the bonds as of June 30, 2015 was \$33,450,000 with interest rates ranging from 4 to 5%.

Reimbursement Agreements- Police Facilities and Parking Garage Revenue Bonds

The City of Concord Public Financing Authority constructed police facilities and a three-level, 432-space parking structure, which are leased from the City. Revenues from these leases totaled \$935,000 in fiscal year 2015. The Concord Joint Powers Financing Authority loaned the City \$19,280,000 to construct these facilities, with reimbursement agreement in place between the former Redevelopment Agency and the City. With the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency discussed above, Tax Increment is no longer distributed, and instead the Successor Agency receives payments from the County's Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) that are to be used to fund repayment under the reimbursement agreement. In addition, under the provisions of the laws dissolving the Redevelopment Agency, the Successor Agency only receives the funds necessary to fulfill its approved obligations. The principal balance was paid in full during fiscal year 2015 as the revenue bonds were defeased with the issuance of the 2014 TABs discussed above.

Reimbursement Agreements- Refunding Lease Agreement

On June 24, 2010 the former Redevelopment Agency entered into a Refunding Lease Agreement in the amount of \$5,073,000. The proceeds from the Agreement were used to retire a portion of the outstanding 1993 Lease Revenue Bonds and to fully repay the 1999 Judgment Obligation Bonds. The Agreement bears interest at 3.6% and is due semi-annually on March 1 and September 1. Principal payments are due annually on September 1 until September 1, 2019. As of June 30, 2015 the total amount payable on the reimbursement agreement was \$2,599,000.

Housing Successor Loan Payable

Beginning in 1986, the former Redevelopment Agency's General Capital Projects Fund has been required by the State to set aside 20% of property tax increments for low and moderate income housing. However, under a transition rule, pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 33334.6, the former Redevelopment Agency was permitted to set aside less than 20% of the tax increment that it received to the extent that it spent the tax increment revenue for the Agency's debt incurred prior to 1986 or for Agency projects approved prior to 1986, as long as it had a written plan for repaying these amounts to the Concord Housing Special Revenue Fund. The Agency's General Capital Projects Fund has been allowed to use these set-asides for current capital projects as long as it had a written plan for repaying these amounts to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Special Revenue Fund. At June 30, 2015 the amount due that Fund under the repayment plan totaled \$9,495,937. The advance does not bear interest.

NOTE 19 - REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED)

The above loan had previously been reported as an interfund advance within the Redevelopment Agency, but with the transfer of the former Redevelopment Agency's liabilities to the Successor Agency, the advance is now reported as long-term debt of the Successor Agency. This loan was originally required to be repaid by June 30, 2019; however repayment is now subject to the provisions of Health and Safety Code Section 34176 and cannot begin prior to fiscal year 2014 based on the repayment requirements in the Health and Safety Code.

This loan has been approved by the Department of Finance on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule. As of June 30, 2015, the total amount payable on the loan was \$9,495,937.

Current Year Transactions and Balances

	Original Principal Amount	Balance as of June 30, 2014	Additions	Retirements	Balance as of June 30, 2015	Current Portion
2014 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds						
4.0-5.00%, due 03/01/2025	\$ 37,550,000	\$ -	\$ 37,550,000	\$ 4,100,000	\$ 33,450,000	\$ 4,205,000
Premium on bonds	4,943,615		4,943,615		4,943,615	
2004 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds						
3.9-5.05%, due 07/01/2025	72,310,000	45,240,000		45,240,000		
Total Tax Allocation Bonds		45,240,000		49,340,000	38,393,615	4,205,000
Reimbursement Agreement:						
City of Concord, 3.60%, due 09/01/2019	3,116,000	3,067,000		468,000	2,599,000	501,500
Reimbursement Agreement:						
City of Concord, 4.0-5.13%, due 03/01/2023	19,280,000	5,230,000		5,230,000		
Loan Payable:						
Housing Successor Loan Due 06/30/2019	9,495,937	9,495,937			9,495,937	
Total Successor Agency		\$ 63,032,937	\$ -	\$ 55,038,000	\$ 50,488,552	\$ 4,706,500

Debt Service Requirements

Annual debt service requirements are shown below for long-term debt except the Housing Successor Loan Payable because the ultimate repayment terms cannot be determined at this time as discussed above:

For the Year Ending	Principal	Interest
June 30,		
2016	\$ 4,687,500	\$ 1,715,329
2017	4,881,500	1,529,417
2018	5,125,000	1,292,030
2019	14,857,937	1,042,754
2020	2,998,000	781,794
2021-2025	12,995,000	1,930,750
Total	\$ 45,544,937	\$ 8,292,074

NOTE 19 - REDEVELOPMENT SUCCESSOR AGENCY ACTIVITIES (CONTINUED)**Commitments and Contingencies***State Approval of Enforceable Obligations*

The Successor Agency prepares a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) semi-annually that contains all proposed expenditures for the subsequent six-month period. The ROPS is subject to the review and approval of the Oversight Board as well as the State Department of Finance. Although the State Department of Finance may not question items included on the ROPS in one period, they may question the same items in a future period and disallow associated activities. The amount, if any, of current obligations that may be denied by the State Department of Finance cannot be determined at this time. The City expects such amounts, if any, to be immaterial.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS**

The tables below shows a three-year analysis of the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability and the unfunded actuarial liability as a percentage of the annual covered payroll for the City's OPEB plan as of the valuation dates.

City of Concord Retiree Healthcare Plan

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (A)	Entry Age Actuarial Accrued Liability (B)	Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (B-A)	Funded Ratio (A/B)	Covered Payroll (C)	Unfunded Actuarial Liability as Percentages of Covered Payroll [(B-A)/C]
June 30, 2011	\$ 18,332,000	\$ 53,678,000	\$ 35,346,000	34.15%	\$ 33,358,000	106%
June 30, 2013	18,655,000	62,217,000	43,562,000	29.98%	32,192,000	135%
June 30, 2015	22,092,000	73,467,000	51,375,000	30.07%	32,192,000	160%

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS
LAST TEN YEARS**

CalPERS - Miscellaneous Plan

	2015
Total pension liability	
Service cost	\$ 2,890,989
Interest	13,096,282
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(9,206,786)
Net change in total pension liability	6,780,485
Total pension liability -- beginning	177,774,980
Total pension liability -- ending (a)	\$ 184,555,465
 Plan fiduciary net position	
Contributions - employer	\$ 4,399,819
Contributions - employee	1,342,831
Net investment income	19,760,541
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(9,206,786)
Net change in fiduciary net position	16,296,405
Plan fiduciary net position -- beginning	115,328,525
Plan fiduciary net position -- ending (b)	\$ 131,624,930
 Net pension liability -- ending (a) - (b)	\$ 52,930,535
 Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	71.32%
 Covered-employee payroll	\$ 16,164,320
 Net pension liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	327.45%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2014

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS
LAST TEN YEARS**

CalPERS - Safety Plan

	2015
Total pension liability	
Service cost	\$ 4,891,960
Interest	14,566,113
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(7,354,430)
Net change in total pension liability	12,103,643
Total pension liability -- beginning	195,446,083
Total pension liability -- ending (a)	\$ 207,549,726
 Plan fiduciary net position	
Contributions - employer	\$ 5,732,219
Contributions - employee	1,679,332
Net investment income	21,758,280
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(7,354,430)
Net change in fiduciary net position	21,815,401
Plan fiduciary net position -- beginning	124,432,457
Plan fiduciary net position -- ending (b)	\$ 146,247,858
 Net pension liability -- ending (a) - (b)	\$ 61,301,868
 Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	70.46%
 Covered-employee payroll	\$ 17,182,858
 Net pension liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	356.76%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2014

* - Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation, therefore, only one year is shown.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS
LAST TEN YEARS

City of Concord Retirement System Plan (CCRS)

	2014	2015
Total pension liability		
Service cost	\$ -	\$ -
Interest	3,879,000	3,769,962
Changes in assumptions	-	3,337,481
Differences between projected and actual earnings on plan investments	-	1,483,884
Changes in benefits	-	-
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(5,516,000)	(5,525,159)
Net change in total pension liability	(1,637,000)	3,066,168
Total pension liability -- beginning	62,399,000	60,762,000
Total pension liability -- ending (a)	<u>\$ 60,762,000</u>	<u>\$ 63,828,168</u>
Plan fiduciary net position		
Contributions - employer	\$ 1,971,829	\$ 2,035,017
Contributions - employee	-	-
Net investment income	3,423,144	775,187
Differences between projected and actual earnings on plan investments	-	-
Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions	(5,516,398)	(5,525,159)
Administrative expenses	(193,523)	(208,204)
Net change in fiduciary net position	(314,948)	(2,923,159)
Plan fiduciary net position -- beginning	37,640,518	37,325,569
Plan fiduciary net position -- ending (b)	<u>\$ 37,325,570</u>	<u>\$ 34,402,410</u>
Net pension liability -- ending (a) - (b)	<u>\$ 23,436,430</u>	<u>\$ 29,425,758</u>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	61.43%	53.90%
Covered-employee payroll	[1]	[1]
Net pension liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	N/A	N/A
Measurement period June 30,	June 30, 2014	June 30, 2015
[1] Plan was closed in 1999.		

Notes to Schedules

* - Fiscal year 2015 was the first year of implementation of GASB 68 and fiscal year 2014 was the first year of implementation of GASB 67, therefore, only two years is shown.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF CITY CONTRIBUTIONS
LAST TEN YEARS**

	2015
	<u>CalPERS - Miscellaneous Plan</u>
Actuarially determined contributions	\$ 4,837,588
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	4,837,588
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 17,321,232
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	27.93%
	2015
	<u>CalPERS - Safety Plan</u>
Actuarially determined contributions	\$ 5,993,839
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	5,993,839
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 18,298,800
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	32.76%

Notes to Schedule

Valuation date: 6/30/2012

Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level percentage of payroll, closed
Asset valuation method	Actuarial value of assets
Inflation	2.75%, net of pension plan investment expense
Salary Increase	Varies by entry age and service
Investment Rate of Return	7.50%, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation
Retirement age and mortality:	The probabilities of retirement and mortality are based on 2010 CalPERS experience study for the period from 1997 to 2007. Pre-retirement mortality rates include 5 years of projected mortality improvement using Scale AA published by the Society of Actuaries.

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
SCHEDULE OF CITY CONTRIBUTIONS
LAST TEN YEARS**

	2015 CCRS Plan	2014 CCRS Plan
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Actuarially determined contributions	\$ 2,478,000	\$ 2,045,000
Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	2,035,017	1,971,829
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ 442,983</u>	<u>\$ 73,171</u>
 Covered-employee payroll	 [1]	 [1]
 Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	 N/A	 N/A
 Valuation date:	 6/30/2014	 6/30/2012
 Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:		
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal	
Amortization method	Level dollar	
Amortization period	21 year closed period	
Asset valuation method	Market value of assets	
All other	Same as used in determining the total pension liability	
[1] Plan was closed in 1999.		